

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_110282
Title of the Manuscript:	How Well do China's E-payment Concept Listed Companies Perform? A DEA-Malmquist Index Study
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript contributes valuable insights into the operational dynamics of e-payment enterprises in China, providing a basis for informed decision-making and strategic planning. The recommendations offered in the study have the potential to guide industry stakeholders in improving their performance and competitiveness, making this manuscript a relevant and informative resource for the scientific community. "Evaluating Operational Efficiency and Enhancing Competitiveness: A Comprehensive Study of E-Payment Concept Listed Companies in China (2014-2019)" Yes, the provided abstract offers a comprehensive overview of the manuscript. It introduces the research topic (operational efficiency of e-payment concept listed companies), outlines the methodology used (DEA-Malmquist index model), presents key findings (overall good state of operational efficiency with identified constraints), and provides actionable recommendations for improvement. The abstract effectively communicates the significance of the study for the e-payment industry and emphasizes its relevance for enhancing performance and competitiveness. Yes, all the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. Yes, correct. All the references are good and sufficient. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language and English quality appear to be suitable for scholarly communication. The article is well-structured, uses academic language, and effectively communicates the key aspects of the research. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandra Sekhar Kolli
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India