

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109739
Title of the Manuscript:	Revitalizing Agriculture Extension Services for Millets: A Comprehensive Review of Strategies, Challenges, and Innovations
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>After a thorough assessment, I would like to propose revisions to enhance the manuscript's clarity and impact.</p> <p>**Clarification of Objectives in the Abstract:** - It is recommended that the abstract be revised to explicitly outline the specific objectives of the review. This involves clearly stating the key questions or issues the review aims to address, providing readers with a comprehensive understanding of the paper's scope.</p> <p>**Enhancement of Flow and Structure:** - The introduction should be restructured to facilitate a smoother transition into the historical perspective. Consider adding or rearranging connecting sentences to improve the overall flow of the paper and enhance its coherence.</p> <p>**Provision of More Context in Historical Perspective:** - The historical perspective of agricultural extension services in the context of millets should be expanded upon. Additional details on the evolution of these services and their specific impacts on millet cultivation, potentially through more examples or case studies, would strengthen this section.</p> <p>**Inclusion of Visuals:** - Consideration should be given to incorporating visuals, such as charts, graphs, or images, to illustrate key points. Visual aids can significantly enhance the reader's understanding and engagement with the content, making the manuscript more accessible.</p> <p>**Consistency in Terminology:** - Ensure there is consistent use of terminology throughout the manuscript. Specifically, in the "Innovations in Extension Services" section, it is recommended to revise the repetition of the term "Precision farming techniques" for clarity and coherence.</p> <p>**Emphasis on the Role of Digital Technology:** - Given the significance of digital technology in contemporary extension services, the manuscript should be expanded in this aspect. Describe how digital tools have improved access to real-time information, emphasizing their specific benefits for millet farmers.</p> <p>**Expansion on Mechanization:** - Elaboration on the brief mention of mechanization is suggested. Provide a more in-depth discussion on the impact of modern farming equipment in enhancing millet farming efficiency and reducing labor costs. Include specific examples of successful mechanization initiatives for a more comprehensive analysis.</p> <p>** Reference issues: - Reference 34 ("Jelantik, I.G.N., Penu, C.L. and Dato, T.O.D., 2021") appears twice in the list. It might be helpful to check if this is intentional or if there's an error. - Reference 27 ("Jelantik, I.G.N., Penu, C.L. and Dato, T.O.D., 2021") seems to lack information about the publication source (journal, volume, etc.). It should be ensured that all necessary information is included in each reference.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Ahmadi
Department, University & Country	Payam-e Noor University, Iraq