ISOLATION AND ANTIBIOGRAM PATTERN OF BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM AUTOMATED TELLER MACHINE (ATM) AT ABUJA CAMPUS, UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT.

Abstract

The growing use of automated teller machines (ATMs) has sparked worries about possible microbial contamination on ATM keypads, which might be harmful to the general public's health. This study examines the antibiotic resistance characteristics of the bacterial infections linked to ATM keypads at the University of Port Harcourt's Abuja campus in Nigeria. Tests for antimicrobial susceptibility, bacterial isolation, and identification were carried out on swab samples obtained from different bank ATMs.Common contaminantsfound in the research were *Staphylococcus, Bacillus, Enterobacter, Aerobacter, Photohabdus,* and *Micrococcus species.* With a 40% prevalence, *Bacillus species* predominated, followed by *Staphylococcus species* at 30%. The range of total heterotrophic counts was 3.38–4.69 LogCfu/ml. The necessity for efficient biocides was highlighted by the antimicrobial susceptibility tests, which showed different resistance patterns in Gram-positive and Gram-negative isolates.This study demonstrates the rise of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and emphasizes the importance of microbial contamination on ATM keypads for public health. To slow the spread of resistant microbes, research that promotes frequent surveillance, hygiene education, and the creation of antimicrobial measures is advocated. All things considered, the results provided insightful information on the microbial environment of often touched surfaces and advocated for taking preventative measures to reduce the health hazards related to ATMs.

Keywords: ATM, Keypads, Antibiogram, microbial environment

1. Introduction

An antibiogram is a data collection that often takes the shape of a table and shows the percentage of different bacterial infections that are susceptible to different antimicrobial treatments [1]. Due to its extensive contact with a wide range of consumers, the ATM is likely to be contaminated with many microorganisms [2]. In Lafia, Nasarawa State, an investigation of bacterial contamination associated with ATM keypads revealed the existence of harmful microorganisms such as *Salmonella sp., Bacillus sp., Pseudomonas sp., Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella sp.,* and *Enterobacter sp*. The findings of this study have implications for public health as ATM keypads may serve as sites for the spread of viruses [3].

Due to public use and the widespread acceptance and increased use of ATMs at the University of Port Harcourt in Nigeria's Abuja campus, electronic technologies are thought to be sources of bacterial contamination [4-5]. The main problem is that more bacterial strains than anticipated are becoming resistant to antibiotics and other disinfectants. This is because eliminating or restricting the development of dangerous microorganisms, such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses, on inanimate surfaces continues to be of utmost importance on a global scale. Thesemicroorganisms may sometimes pose a threat to human life.

Antimicrobial medications have been used for many years to combat harmful germs in a wide range of settings, including homes, workplaces, and hospitals [6]. However, using them for extended periods has resulted in the development of resistant bacteria [6]. The misuse or overuse of antibiotics and biocides, which often results in the establishment of cross-resistance, has led to an increase in the number of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in contemporary times. Therefore, problems associated with microbe remodelling and the emergence of resistant strains often call for the development of innovative, safe, and effective biocides [6-7].This study aims to isolate an antibiogram pattern of bacterial contamination of Automated Teller machines (ATM) of such on the University of Port Harcourt.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Area of Study

This research was conducted out at the University of Port Harcourt (Abuja Campus), Uniport is situated on latitude 4^0 53'14'' N through 4^0 54' 42'' N and longitude 6^0 54' 00'' E through 6^0 55' 50'' E. It has three campuses -Abuja, Choba, and Delta parks, respectively. The banks include Access Bank, Uba Bank, Zenith Bank, and Fidelity Bank.

2.2. Sample collection and processing

Seven (7) swab samples(7 sample in adequate and not give standard results)were taken from the Bank's automated teller machines at the University of Port Harcourt's campus in Rivers State. Before swabbing the ATM buttons, sterile distilled water was used to wet the sterile cotton swab sticks. Within two hours of collection, the swab sticks were sent to the lab for bacteriological examination.

2.3. Bacterial Culturing, Enumeration and Characterization

After being incubated for five hours, the swab sticks were used to inoculate tubes filled with sterile 9 ml of normal saline. The inoculum was then utilised for serial dilution.One millilitre (ml) was taken aseptically and put to use for serial dilution. It was then transferred to a test tube that had nine millilitres of normal saline marked 10:1. Following serial dilution, 0.1 ml of the aliquot was plated onto Petri plates marked with the date and sample code source, as well as the newly made nutritional agar, MacConkey agar, and Mannitol salt agar. Following a 24-hour incubation period at 37˚C for the streaked sample, the colonies were counted and examined.

2.4. Isolation and identification of bacterial isolates

After incubating for 24 hours, plate growths were checked for different colonies and plate counts were made. The isolates were subsequently subcultured on new medium plates until pure isolates were visible. The isolates' pure culture was put onto agar slants. Next, the isolates were identified using biochemical characteristics (catalase, coagulase, oxidase, citrate utilisation, motility, indole, urea hydrolysis (urease test), and sugar fermentation test) along with their morphological appearance (glucose, sucrose, lactose, galactose, maltose, and fructose).

2.5. Antibiogram Screening

The approach recommended by the clinical and laboratory standards institutes [8] was used to complete this. A 20 ml molten Mueller Hinton agar was aseptically injected with 0.1 ml of the standardised culture, and the mixture was achieved by gently swirling the agar. After that, the medium was aseptically transferred onto a sterile petri dish and allowed to set. The plate had the proper label. For the remainder of the isolated culture, this was repeated. Following solidification, the commercial multi-antibiotic disc was aseptically implanted into the media's surface using sterile forceps. It was then incubated for 24 hours at 37°C and checked for zones of inhibition.

According to the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [9], antibacterial susceptibility was assessed for all isolated strains using Mueller Hinton agar (Merk Co., Germany) and the standard Kirby-Bauer disc agar diffusion (DAD) method with discs (Mast Co.UK) containing gentamicin (10 µg), vancomycin (30 µg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (25 µg), amikacin (30 µg), cephalothin (30 µg), norfloxacin (5 µg), and ceftizoxime (30 µg).

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS) software package, version 21.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Total heterotrophic count

The total heterotrophic count of the swab samples gotten from ATMs across the Abuja campus of the University of Port Harcourt ranged from 3.38 to 4.69 LogCfu/ml, ABA bank had the lowest value (3.38 LogCfu/ml) while UBB bank had the highest value (4.69 LogCfu/ml) as presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Total heterotrophic count of ATMs across the University of Port Harcourt

Sample Code	Count (Cfu/ml)	LogCfu/ml
ZBA	4.7×10^3	3.67
ABA	2.4×10^3	3.38
FBA	5.45×10^3	3.73
UBB	4.0×10^4	4.6

Key: ZBA = Zenith bank, ABA = Access bank, FBA = Fidelity bank, UBB = United bank of Africa

3.2. Total coliform count

The total coliform count of the swab samples obtained from the ATM at Abuja Campus, University of Port Harcourt ranged from 2.85 to 3.90LogCfu/ml with the sample from Access Bank being the lowest and UBA bank having the highest. The values of the coliform count are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Total coliform count

Sample Code	Count (Cfu/ml)	LogCfu/ml
ZBA	1.0×10^3	3.0
ABA	7.0×10^2	2.85
FBA	7.5×10^3	3.88
UBB	7.9×10^3	3.90

3.3. Total *Staphylococcal* count

The total *staphylococcal* count of the swab samples gotten from ATMs across the University of Port Harcourt was observed to range from 3.04 to 4.23LogCfu/ml with the sample from UBA bank being the lowest with the value of 3.04 LogCfu/ml and zenith bank having the highest with value of 4.23 LogCfu/ml. The values of the staphylococcal count are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Total *Staphylococci* count

Sample Code	Count (Cfu/ml)	LogCfu/ml
ZBA	3.1×10^3	3.49
ABA	Nil	-
FBA	1.7×10^4	4.23
UBB	1.1×10^3	3.04

3.4. Frequency of Occurrences of each Bacteria Isolates from Automated Teller Machine

Enterobacter spp. was the most predominant (31.6%), followed by *Staphylococcus* spp. (26.3%), *Bacillus* spp. (23.6%) and *Photonabdus* spp. (7.9%).*Aerobacter* spp.and *Micrococcus* spp. were the least (5.3%, each). *Enterobacter* spp.has similar occurrences in banks ABA and UBB, respectively. While *Aerobacter* spp. has similar occurrences in banks FBA and UBB, respectively (Table 4). A higher prevalence of the isolates occurred in UBA (31.6%), followed by ZBA (26.3%) and ABA (23.6%) while FBA (18.4%) was the least (Table 4). *Micrococcus*was found in ZBA only with a 100.0% prevalence rate (Table 4).

Table 4. Percentage Occurrences of isolates from different Bank ATM keypads in Abuja campus, University of Port Harcourt

Bacterial Isolates	FBA	ABA	ZBA	UBB	Frequency	Percentage
Staphylococcus spp.	1	2	3	4	10	26.3
Bacillus spp.	3	2	0	4	9	23.6
Enterobacter spp.	2	3	4	3	12	31.6

Aerobacter spp.	1	0	0	1	2	5.3
Photohabdus spp.	0	2	1	0	3	7.9
*Micrococcus*spp.	0	0	2	0	2	5.3
Total	**7 (18.4)**	**9 (23.6)**	**10 (26.3)**	**12 (31.6)**	**38**	**100.0**

3.5. Antibiogram Pattern of the Isolates

From the antimicrobial susceptibility test carried out, for the gram-positive organisms, *Staphylococcus*species was observed to be resistant to all discs but APX. *Micrococcus*species was susceptible only to pefloxacin, intermediate to sceptrin and Erythromycin but resistant to all other antibiotic discs. *Bacillus*specieswere susceptible to ciprofloxacin, pefloxacin, Rocephin, streptomycin, Sceptrin, and intermediate Erythromycin and resistant to other antibiotics, and *Bacillus*specieswere susceptible to only pefloxacin and Rocephin but intermediate for ciprofloxacin, sceptrin, streptomycin, and Erythromycin.

For the gram-negative isolates, *Enterobacter*specieswere resistant only to amoxicillin, susceptible to ciprofloxacin, augmenting, gentamicin, pefloxacin, and ciprofloxacin; and intermediate for Tanvid, sceptrin, chloramphenicol, and streptomycin.

Aerobactersp was resistant to the following; SXT = Septrin, CH = Chloramphenicol, CPX = Ciprofloxacin, SP = Sporfloxacin intermidiate to AM = Amoxacillin and susceptible to AU = Augmentin, CN = Gentamicin, PEF = Pefloxacin, OFX = Tarivid, S = Streptomycin. The last isolate which turned out to be *EnterobacterII*as well was observed to be susceptible only to Tanvid, intermediate only to chloramphenicol, and resistant to the other discs. The antimicrobial susceptibility test is presented in Tables5 and 6, respectively.

Table 5. Antimicrobial susceptibility test for gram-positive bacterial isolates

Bacteria Isolates	PEF	CN	APX	Z	AM	R	CRX	S	SXT	ERY
Photorabdus sp	S	S	S	S	R	R	R	S	S	S
Micrococcus sp	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	I	I
Bacillus sp	S	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	S	I
Staphylococcus sp	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Staphylococcus sp	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Bacillus sp	S	R	R	R	R	S	I	I	I	I

Key: PEF = Pefloxacin, CN = Gentamicin, APX = Ampliclox, Z = Zinacef, AM = Amoxacillin, R = Rocephin, CRX = Cirprofloxacin, S = Streptomycin, SXT = Septrin, ERY = Erythromycin, R = Resistant, S = Susceptible I = Intermediate

Table 6: Antimicrobial susceptibility test for gram-negative bacterial isolates

Bacteria isolates	SXT	CH	CPX	AM	AU	CN	PEF	OFX	SP	S
Enterobacter sp	I	I	S	R	S	S	S	I	S	I
Aerobacter sp	R	R	R	I	S	S	S	S	R	S
Enterobacter sp II	R	I	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R

Key: SXT = Septrin, CH = Chloramphenicol, CPX = Ciprofloxacin, AM = Amoxacillin, AU = Augmentin, CN = Gentamicin, PEF = Pefloxacin, OFX = Tarivid, SP = Sporfloxacin, S = Streptomycin, R = Resistant, S = susceptible, I = Intermediate

4. DISCUSSION

The goal of this research was to investigate the antibiogram pattern and isolation of bacteria from an automated teller machine (ATM) at the University of Port Harcourt's Abuja Campus. The purpose of the research was to raise public and ATM users' knowledge of the potential illnesses that may be acquired as a result of germs present in ATMs. The study's findings demonstrated bacterial contamination on the copper keypad surfaces of the ATMs at the University of Port Harcourt's Abuja Campus. In this investigation, a total of six bacterial isolates were found near the ATM interface. The abundance of *Staphylococcus spp*, *Bacillus spp*, Enterobacterspp, Aerobacterspp, Photohabdusspp, and Micrococcus spp was determined by qualitative analysis of the bacterial isolates.

The most common bacterial isolate, according to the percentage distribution of the isolates, was *Enterobacter spp*. (31.6%), which was followed by *Staphylococcus spp*. (26.3%), *Bacillus spp*. (23.6%), and *Photonabdus spp.* (7.9%). *Micrococcus spp*. and *Aerobacter spp*. were the least frequent (5.3% each). The microorganisms found in this investigation are comparable to those that other researchers have found on surfaces and in items. [4]

The transmission of infectious illnesses may be aided by contaminated hands contacting an ATM keypad since this can transmit bacteria to the keyboard and banknotes [10]. In research on the exterior surface of computer mice and keyboards, Malik and Naeem discovered that all samples were infected with dangerous bacteria [11]. This finding is consistent with studies conducted in Cape Town, Ghana, on bacterial isolates on mice and keyboards for computers [12].

Gram-positive bacteria were more common in our research than Gram-negative bacteria [13]. The current study's findings are consistent with those of Honua [14], who discovered *Staphylococcus, Aerobacter,* and *Enterobacterspp* on ATM keyboards. Research on ATMs as a whole indicates that they may have a role in the spread of infectious illnesses. It has been noted that bacterial isolates' sensitivity to antibiotics is dynamic, changing with time and environment rather than being constant. It is necessary to routinely check the antibiotic susceptibility profiles of prevalent bacterial infections in various populations [15]. The bulk of the microorganisms in this research are very resistant to common antibiotics, according to the antibiogram finding.

The growing frequency of bacterial strains developing resistance to antibiotics and disinfectants is a cause for worry. To address the issues brought on by microbial adaptability and the rise of resistant strains, the research highlights the critical need for novel, secure, and efficient biocides.

The patterns of isolation and antibiograms provide light on the antibiotic susceptibility profiles of the bacterial isolates that were found. *Staphylococcus* species and other gram-positive organisms showed resistance to many antibiotics, but *Enterobacter* species and other gram-negative isolates showed different patterns of susceptibility. The significant level of resistance that has been seen prompts worries about possible public health consequences.

In addition to providing insightful information on the microbiological environment of ATM keypads, the study emphasizes the significance of routine observation and education in reducing the spread of infectious illnesses. Going ahead, it will be essential to put in place good hygiene procedures, regular cleaning schedules, and maybe the creation of antimicrobial coatings for regularly handled surfaces such as ATM keypads. To reduce the spread of microorganisms resistant to antibiotics, it is also crucial to educate the public about hand cleanliness practices after using ATMs.

5. Conclusions

This study clarifies the substantial bacterial contamination found on the keypads of the automated teller machines (ATMs) at the University of Port Harcourt's Abuja Campus. Numerous bacterial pathogens were identified by the investigation, including *Staphylococcus species, Bacillus species, Enterobacter species, Aerobacter species, Photohabdus species,* and *Micrococcus species.*The results highlight the importance of ATMs for public health as possible surfaces for the gathering of pathogens. ATMs are widely accepted and used, and several users are in continual touch with one another, which increases the risk of microbial contamination.

References

1. Merriam-Webster. (2016). *Merriam-Webster medical dictionary*. Merriam Webster.
2. Abban, S and Tano-Derah. Automatic teller machines (ATMs) are a potential source of Food-borne Pathogens- a case from Ghana. *Nature Sci*., 9; 63-67. 2011.
3. Aquino S, de Lima JE, da Silva MO, de Sousa GF (2021). Multidrug-resistant bacteria isolated from automated teller machine in metropolitan area of São Paulo, Brazil. World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences. 2021;5(1):027–36.
4. Okoro, J., Oloninefa, S. D., Ojonigu, A. F., & Sani, M. (2018). Assessment of some selected automated teller machines in Kaduna metropolis for pathogenic bacteria contamination. *British Journal of Environmental Sciences*, *6*(1), 19-35.
5. Adedoyin, A. B. (2019). A Study Investigating Bacterial Colonization on Automated Teller Machines in Ibadan Metropolis, South-West Nigeria. Acta Scientific Pharmaceutical Sciences, 3(6): 119-132.
6. Odeyemi, A. T., Sulaimon, A. M., Odunmbaku, E., Afolabi, I. E. (2018). Bacteriological Contamination of User Interface of Automated Teller Machines (ATM) of Banks in Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti. International Journal of Research Studies in Microbiology and Biotechnology, 4(3): 18-25

7. Hamouda, T. and Baker Jr., J.R. (2000) Antimicrobial Mechanism of Action of Surfactant Lipid Preparations in Enteric Gram-Negative Bacilli. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 89, 397-403.http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.01127.
8. CLSI (2012) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Second Informational Supplement. CLSI Document M 100-S22. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne
9. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2015) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 25th Informational Supplement. CLSI Document M100-S25, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
10. Sun, X., Lei, C., Guo, L., & Zhou, Y. (2016). Separable detecting of Escherichia coli O157H: H7 by a giant magneto-resistance-based bio-sensing system. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *234*, 485-492.
11. Malik K. and Naeem N. (2014). Study of bacteria on Computer Mice and keyboards, International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science, 3, (4), pp. 813-823
12. Tagoe, D. N. A. and Kumi-Ansah, F. (2010). Computer keyboard and mouse: Potential sources of disease transmission and infections.Int. J. Public Health. 1: 2.
13. Kim, J., Kim, M., Kim, S., & Ryu, S. (2017). Sensitive detection of viable Escherichia coli O157: H7 from foods using a luciferase-reporter phage phiV10lux. *International journal of food microbiology*, *254*, 11-17.
14. Honua, M. H. M. (2017). The hygienic and microbial status of Sudanese banknotes, Khartoumstate, Sudan. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*, *4*(4), 923-927.
15. Ozkan VK. (2016). Determination of Microfungal Contamination on Automated Teller Machines and Bank Cards in Marmaris, Turkey. *Journal of Pharmaceutical*, *Chemical and Biological Sciences*. 3(4):528–534