

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_108772
Title of the Manuscript:	Predominance of K1 Allele in Erythrocytic form of Plasmodium falciparum MSP-1 Gene Signifies Severe Malaria in Jos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is. <i>Plasmodium</i> merozoite surface protein 1 (msp1) is a highly abundant and the most polymorphic antigen, which has been extensively studied in the parasite population. <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> has seven variable blocks that are separated either by conserved or semi-conserved regions. The variable block 2 of pfmsp1 is the most polymorphic region of the antigen. In this study, the genetic diversity of the <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> will be determined using nested PCR method while focusing onK1 of MSP 1 antigenic marker.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>Yes, but I suggest that the Introduction should not be written in a compact block, because it makes it unfriendly to read. I propose to leave only one objective; the one in the title or the one stated at the end of the Introduction.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and can be considered recent. Revise the way of writing the titles of some magazines. Internationally established abbreviations should be considered. References obtained from the Internet (https://) must specify the date of consultation (month/day/year). In references where all the data (journal title, volume, number and pages) are available, the internet citation can be omitted.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is a very good job, done with rigor.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús G. Rodríguez Diego
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México