

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110630
Title of the Manuscript:	The environmental benefits of using sugarcane bagasse in cement mortars.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>Abstract can be rewritten to make it more appealing (Grammar check is needed).</p> <p>Introduction should be named as 1 and followed by subsequent numbers for following sections.</p> <p>With few corrections in the manuscript, it can be accepted.</p> <p>References are insufficient.</p> <p>Minimum 15 references in the introduction part has to be incorporated. Results and discussions need to be validated with previous work and the present work outcomes (conclusions) has to mentioned clearly.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Grammar corrections needed to be checked and incorporated appropriately	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Paper is scientifically sound, but needs improvement.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pruthviraj B S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Walchand College of Engineering, India</b>