

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJGO_110243</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of emergency obstetric and neonatal care (EmONC) in the Boulmiougou health district in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Good identification of topic. Certainly the findings are an eye-opener for governing bodies.</p> <p>Provided the author specifically mention the exact sample size and percentage distribution in each aspect of observation. Confusing about total sample size.</p> <p>2. Title is ok.</p> <p>3. Abstract within 200-250 words briefing mainly the need for the study, method and findings. Subheading in abstract not needed.</p> <p>4. Supportive Graphical representation in figure should be present.</p> <p>5. The design is Developmental research design. Type is Longitudinal Research design. Authors have mentioned Prospective!!!!</p> <p>There is no statistical analysis. The data has a wide scope to prove the significance. Sample size clarity is absent and somewhere confusing. Table 1 and 3 need to be supplemented with an explanation.</p> <p>6. Insufficient reference. Bibliographic matrix not used properly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Alemayehu M, Yakob B, Khuzwayo N. Quality of emergency obstetric and newborn care services in Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022 Sep 6;22(1):686. doi: 10.1186/s12884-022-05019-w. PMID: 36068531; PMCID: PMC9446841.</li> <li>✓ Recommendations for the clinical practice of emergency obstetric and neonatal care in Africa – 2018 Guidelines- A GUIDE FOR HEALTH PRACTITIONERS</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Uppercase and lowercase of words not written appropriately eg.Good Quality</p> <p>Short form of minutes is "min" ( Universally accepted)</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article would be robust with diagrams and statistical analysis proper use of citation. Author directly jumped to need for study in the introduction section. Few introductory line should set the platform for the study identification. Escapee' criteria is not explained properly. Some figure about the criteria or reference should be mentioned.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pritha Sarkar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>