

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_109096
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions On Caffeine, Smoking, And Insomnia Among Nursing Students In A Private Institution In Manila
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Partially</p> <p>Yes, References must be written in accordance with the journal recommendations</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><u>Abstract</u></p> <p>1. Citations not included in abstract.</p> <p>2. Background needs to be shortened.</p> <p>3. Under the heading of “purpose” and “conclusion”, results shouldn’t be included.</p> <p><u>Introduction</u></p> <p>4. “Health Grades Inc. in 2014”; citation details (could be a website link) should be added to references.</p> <p>5. In the last paragraph, writers should remove the results of the study. It only consists the aim of the study.</p> <p><u>Methods</u></p> <p>6. Research instruments should be defined clearly. The second paragraph of “research instrument” needs to be clarified.</p> <p>7. Have researchers conducted a validity and reliability study on a certain number of groups before using the questionnaires.</p> <p><u>Result and Discussion</u></p> <p>8. Results and Discussion should be separated.</p> <p>9. Why did the writers use red colour? Please use black colour while writing an article if it is</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>not a graph or so forth.</p> <p>10. Writers are referring the age differences as “1s” “5s” and “10s”. It should be clarified for the readers.</p> <p>11. “In the study of Cho & Jang (2021), since the time of Florence Nightingale, the old belief is that the nature of nursing is feminine. She believed that as it was an extension of mothering, women were more suited to provide care. Therefore, even before nursing is a motherly instinct that the female possesses, which influences their way of caring for the patient. However, modern age has already changed the perception of people in terms of stereotyping a job or anything that would bring up a gender bias.” Writers use the word “However”; however, their study suggests that there is no major change in the perception.</p> <p>12. Writers should add their questionnaires at the back of the article rather than giving in the results part. Results should show the reader main outcomes of the study. Results part should completely be changed and give a general idea/overview rather than tiring tables.</p> <p>13. Limitations should be added.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p>14. Conclusion needs to consist of conclusions rather than results of the study and reflects some outcomes for the future steps will be taken or future studies could be conducted.</p> <p>15. Recommendations could be a sub-heading of conclusion</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gamze Yapca Kaypakli
Department, University & Country	Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey