

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_109660
Title of the Manuscript:	Facial Analysis of the Igbo Ethnic Group of Nigeria for the Evaluation of Sexual Dimorphism
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. Generally the importance of a manuscript for the scientific community depends on various factors, such as the novelty of the research, the quality of the methodology, the significance of the results, and the potential impact on the field. In this manuscript I found Originality, Relevance, Good contribution, Good Results.</p> <p>2. Yes, Title is suitable for the article.</p> <p>3. Yes, It's good and well organized.</p> <p>4. It could be better if images / screen shots / tables are added at appropriate places in article.</p> <p>5. It is better to show methodology set up and experimentation process in article.</p> <p>6. Enough number of references used.</p> <p>Details about the existing studies need to be kept in a tabular form, gaps identified from literature and if any algorithm used in implementation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pramod Kumar Poladi
Department, University & Country	SR University, India