

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_110572</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of the efficacy of castor oil as a biopesticide in the treatment of Leucinodes orbitalis L., a pest of eggplant (Solanum melongena).</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, this study is scientific and important.</b></p> <p><b>Kindly add the scientist's name (L.) after the botanical name of the crop as well. Do italic the scientific names.</b></p> <p><b>Firstly, abstract should address the problem and its impacts on the entity. Then you have to come to the solution part, experimental site (season, year), methodology adopted, time of application, concentration of the employed input. At last, how were the results and what do you recommend in the conclusive statement for the proposed work.</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript seeks improvement for scientific English writing with involving grammar corrections.</b></p> <p><b>Add at least 10 more references which specially support your results in the discussion part relevant to your work.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>The manuscript seeks scientific English writing with involving grammar corrections</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Some of the corrections I have marked in the form of comments within the manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Etalesh Goutam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lovely Professional University, India</b>