

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110151
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Pre-harvest Application of Plant Growth Regulators and Bagging on Physical Attributes of Guava (Psidium guajava L.) in cv. L - 49.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes manuscript is important for the scientific community. It goes a long way to provide information for better yield of plant of study.</p> <p>Effect of Pre-harvest Application on Physical Attributes of Guava (Psidium guajava L.) in cv. L – 49: Plant Growth Regulators and Bagging.</p> <p>Not comprehensive. Presentation on various treatment is very confusing. It does have clear objectives and methods. Results statement must be separated from conclusion and recommendation statement.</p> <p>Methods needs separate subheading from materials. That way, authors can clearly write on methods used in the experiment.</p> <p>It is scientifically correct but less detailed.</p> <p>It is enough for communication paper. A full paper will need more. The most recent reference presented was 2017. Authors could have use a little more current reference.</p> <p>Kindly check grammar and punctuations throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Quite acceptable if revised.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Phyllis Otu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Accra Technical University, Ghana</b>