

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109967
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Path Coefficient Studies of Three Line Rice Hybrids
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This type of work has already been submitted by many authros, there is no new studies performed by the authors. But this manuscript can be considered by publication.</p> <p>2. The more scientific name of the manuscript can be as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive Assessment of Genetic Relationships in Three Line Rice Hybrids through Correlation and Path Coefficient Studies • Path Coefficient and Correlation Studies in Three Line Rice Hybrids: Implications for Breeding Improvement • Integrated Analysis of Correlation and Path Coefficient in Three Line Rice Hybrid Varieties <p>3. Abstract need lot of corrections and restructuring</p> <p>4. Not to the mark of the research paper, it requires restructuring</p> <p>5. No, I suggest rewriting the manuscript in the light of literature available.</p> <p>6. No recent references are cited by the authors.</p> <p>Additional comments and suggestions to authors;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly articulate the unique aspects or contributions of your study, even if the general topic has been explored before. What specific insights or findings does your research bring to the field? • Ensure that each section smoothly transitions into the next and that the reader can easily follow the progression of your arguments and findings. • Ensure that you include recent and relevant references in your literature review. • Show a deep understanding of the existing literature by engaging with and critically evaluating relevant studies. Compare and contrast your findings with those of other researchers. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language need improvements. There are certain grammatical errors occurred and also typographical errors which can be removed before the acceptance.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kishorchandra Govindrao Rewatkar
Department, University & Country	Vidya Vikas College of Science, India