



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
1. - Abstract - the authors should clearly indicate that not rice but a dish based on rice and termites was sensory assessed,	corrected
2. - what does "Source of Table" mean? under tables?	corrected
3. - citations - should be checked and corrected, only surnames are given in the text, without names or their initials,	corrected
4. - material - country of origin should be provided,	corrected
5. - Table 2 - the sum of nutrients should be approximately 100%, the results are given in table 2 where the sums in the rows for individual samples are: 153.26; 15.02; and 74.72% make no sense and have no justification, please perform the appropriate calculations again,,	corrected
6. - Table 4 - there is no justification for using four different units for vitamin content, this content should be expressed in one unit, e.g. mg/100 g as in the abstract,	corrected
7. - references - all references are missing volume numbers and page ranges,	corrected