

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_109809 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Factors Influencing Profit Chain of Little Millet by FPO Farmers, Processors and Marketers in Tamil Nadu |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes, I can be of help to the farmers and marketers as well as other stakeholders in the value chain, if well aligned and corrected.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract is not detailed enough, some excesses as indicated should be removed while value information should be added</p> <p>Fairly</p> <p>Partially</p> <p>Some references are too old; almost 50 years. More recent reference should be use. The reference list should follow a specific pattern not many patterns as seen in the reference list.</p> <p>The lines and grids on the Tables of the results should be removed</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Using OLS for this kind research is not sufficient because some assumption of OLS might be violated. I suggest the author(s) should use functional model which include linear, semi-log, double log and exponential. From these four the author(s) can be select the best fit base on parameter from the results</p> <p>Also, there is no consistent in count – 95, 75, 10 this disparity should be cleared and justified. There is no recommendation in the work; it should be included because without recommendation the work is not worthy doing.</p> <p>Accept if journal adopt Minor revision. But review again if journal major revision due to functional models (linear, semi-log, double log and exponential) suggested by the reviewer.</p> <p>Minor revision, if journal will accept the OLS result. But major revision if journal will adopt the suggestion from the reviewer to use functional models – linear, semi-log, double log and exponential</p> <p>See the attachment</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Aroyehun Adeyinka Richard |
| Department, University & Country | University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria |