

Association of socio-economic profile of farmers with perception towards soil health card in Surajpur District (C.G.)

Abstract:

Soil ~~test-based~~ ~~test-based~~ nutrient management has emerged as a key issue in efforts to increase agricultural productivity and production. The optimal use of nutrients, based on soil analysis, can improve crop productivity and minimize nutrient waste, thus minimizing the impact on the environment. The study was conducted in the Surajpur District of Chhattisgarh, with 120 respondents. The finding indicated that ~~majority of most~~ farmers had a high perception level 40 ~~precent~~ ~~percent~~ followed by a medium 35 ~~precent~~ ~~percent~~ and only 25 ~~precent~~ ~~percent~~ farmer ~~farmers~~ had a low perception level. Education, Farming experience, land holding, Annual Income, Extension Contact, Mass Media Exposure, Scientific Orientation, and Innovativeness were significantly related, and age, Occupation, and social participation were found nonsignificantly relationship with perception level.

Keywords: Soil ~~health~~ ~~health~~ card, perception level.

Introduction-

The greatest number of ~~the~~ rural people will remain engaged in agriculture as their primary occupation for a very long time. While we have implemented the Green Revolution, the nation's expanding population ~~need~~ ~~needs~~ even greater efforts in the areas of research, education, and extension. Because there are so many productive technologies accessible across the nation, it is crucial for extension agents to understand how farmers feel about the technologies before they are adopted. Nutrient imbalance in the soil, excessive fertilisation, soil pollution, and processes that lead to soil loss are all factors that have a negative impact on the health and quality of the soil. Although the producing function of soil has long been understood, it has only recently become important to preserve and improve the ecological services that soil provides. Soil health card is a printed report that will be given to a farmer for each of his holdings. It will show his soil's status according to 12 parameters: N, P, K (macronutrients), and S (secondary nutrients), Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn, and Bo are micronutrients and pH, EC and OC (Physical parameters). Based on this, the SHC will also recommend fertilizers and soil amendments that the farm needs. The Soil Health Card will provide a suggestion on the recommended dosage of various nutrients depending on the soil nutrient status of the farmer's holding. Soil health card is one of the most crucial approaches in agriculture because it is essential for sustainable production.

Methodology-

The study was carried out in Surajpur district (C.G.), 2022-23. There are six total blocks in the Surajpur district. Out of which, 2 blocks were purposely selected. From each block, 4 villages, a total of 8 villages were selected. From each village, 15 farmers total of 120 respondents purposely selected. The researcher personally gathered the data using a

Comment [MS1]: What does it mean? Years? Confine the time

Comment [MS2]: Who?

Comment [MS3]: What extension?

Comment [MS4]: Need references. What type of productive technologies? Include name? Their advantages and disadvantages

Comment [MS5]: What does it mean? What kind of nutrients?

Comment [MS6]: How does nutrient pollute the soil?

Comment [MS7]: State clearly what it means. Add references for the statement.

Comment [MS8]: State what is soil health card.

Comment [MS9]: When an abbreviation is used 1st time it is essential to mention it in full name.

structured and personal interview schedule. Analyse and understand the data, percentages, frequency, and correlation coefficient were used.

Result and Discussion: -

Table no. 1 Distribution of respondents according to the Level of perception of farmers about soil health cards. (n=120)

S. No.	Parameters	A		UD		DA	
		F	%	F	%	F	%
1	Soil test results give the soil health information.	59	49.17	39	32.50	22	18.33
2	SHC recommendation helps in scientific farming	45	37.50	48	40	27	22.50
3	Soil fertility increases the crop production and productivity.	68	56.67	34	28.33	18	15.00
4	Quantity of fertilizer can be easily calculated by using SHC	41	34.16	47	39.17	32	26.67
5	SHC reduces the excess cost on fertilizers and nutrients	47	39.17	41	34.16	32	26.67
6	Soil test result is not useful for converting fallow land to cultivable land	45	37.50	43	35.83	32	26.67
7	The required quantity of macro and micronutrients can be applied by soil test results.	12	10.00	38	31.67	70	58.33
8	Secondary nutrient given in the SHC is not much useful to farmers.	59	73.75	16	20.00	5	6.25
9	Amendments are used for soil reclamation.	41	34.17	39	32.50	40	33.33
10	SHC helps to establish coordination among farmers, extension workers and scientists.	57	47.50	40	33.33	23	19.17
11	SHC gives crop wise recommendation of fertilizers & nutrients	23	19.17	59	49.16	38	31.67
12	Soil degradation cannot be reduced from soil test results	42	35.00	42	35.00	36	30.00
13	Soil testing should be done every year	41	34.17	39	32.50	40	33.33
14	SHC information helps to adopt suitable crop plan.	42	35.00	38	31.67	40	33.33
15	Soil pH gives acidity & alkalinity of soil.	36	45	27	33.75	17	21.25
16	Soil test result does not give idea to choose the incoming crops.	69	57.50	34	28.33	17	14.17
17	Salinity of the soil is known by electrical conductivity (EC) value.	22	18.33	51	42.50	47	39.17
18	Farmers cannot achieve economic stability by SHC	68	56.67	30	25.00	22	18.33
19	Based on soil test results farmers can plan the future of their crops as well as land.	58	48.33	44	36.67	18	15.00
20	SHC is not necessary for practicing Agriculture	39	32.50	43	35.83	38	31.67

Comment [MS10]: What is UD, A, DA?

Table 1. indicated that the majority 49.17 per cent of the respondents had agreed with the statement that soil health card can be obtained after the soil sample testing, followed by 32.50 per cent undecided and 18.33 per cent had disagreed with the statement. Most of farmer farmers 40 per cent undecided with the statement that farming cannot be done in scientific ways by using soil health card information, followed by the 37.50 per cent agreed and 22.50 per cent had disagreed. More than half 56.67 per cent agreed with the statement that SHC help to maintain soil fertility and productivity, followed by 28.33 per cent undecided and 15.00 per cent had disagreed. Majority The majority of farmer farmers 39.17 per cent had undecided with the statement that SHC provides information about the current fertility status of soil, followed by the majority 34.16 per cent agreed and 26.67 per cent had disagreed. Most of the farmers 42.20 per cent undecided with the statement that SHC reduces the cost on fertilizers & nutrient, followed by 39.17 per cent agreed and 18.33 per cent had disagreed with the statement. Majority The majority of farmer 37.50 per cent agreed with the statement that soil test result results not useful for converting fallow land, followed by 35.83 per cent undecided and 26.67 per cent disagreed. Majority of farmer 58.33 per cent disagreed with the statement that quantity of macro and micronutrient applied soil test, followed by 31.67 per cent undecided and 10 per cent agreed. Most of farmers 49.7 per cent agreed with statement that secondary nutrient not much useful to farmers followed by 35.33 per cent undecided and 15 per cent disagreed. Out of total farmers 34.17 per cent agreed with statement that amendments are used for soil reclamation, followed by 33.33 per cent disagreed and 32.50 per cent undecided. Majority of farmers 47.50 per cent agreed with statement that SHC help to establish, coordination among farmers, extension worker extension worker and experts, followed by 33.33 per cent undecided and 19.17 per cent disagree. Most of farmers 49.16 per cent had undecided with statement that SHC gives crop amount of fertilizer to be applied, followed by 31.67 per cent disagreed, 19.17 per cent undecided and 5.83 per cent disagreed with the. 35.00 per cent of the respondents had agreed & undecided with statement that SHC gives information about amount of fertilizer to be applied, followed by 30.00 per cent disagreed. Majority of farmer 34.17 per cent of the respondents had agreed with statement that soil testing should be every year, followed by 33.33 per cent disagreed and 32.50 per cent undecided with the statement. Most of farmer 35.00 per cent of the respondents had agreed with statement that information helps to adopt suitable crop plan given in SHC, followed by 33.33 per cent of the soil health card holders had disagreed and 31.67 per cent of the soil health card holders had undecided with the statement. Mostly farmer 45 per cent of the respondents had agreed with statement that Acidity, alkalinity of the soils can be known with the help of SHC information, followed by 33.75 per cent had

undecided and 21.25 percent disagreed. Majority of farmer 57.50 percent agreed with statement that Soil test result doesn't choose the income generating crop, followed by 28.33 percent had undecided and 14.17 percent disagreed. Most of farmer 42.50 percent of the respondents had undecided with statement that Soil is known by EC value, followed by 39.17 percent of the soil health card holders had disagreed and 18.33 percent of the soil health cardholders had agreed with the statement. Most of farmer 56.67 percent agreed with statement that cannot achieve economic stability by SHC, followed by 25.00 percent had undecided and 18.33 percent disagreed. Majority of farmers 48.33 percent had agreed with statement that farmers can plan future their crops as well as land, followed by 36.67 percent undecided and 15.00 percent disagreed. in this table shows majority of farmer 40.00 percent of the respondents had agreed with statement that give a clear idea nutrient the soil is lacking, followed by 37.50 percent undecided and 22.50 percent of the soil health cardholders had disagreed with the statement.

Table no. 2. Respondents were divided into groups based on their perception level. (n=120)

S. No.	Category	Frequency	Percentage
1	Low perception level (Up to 20 scores)	30	25.00
2	Medium perception level (21 to 40 scores)	42	35.00
3	High perception level (Above 40 scores)	48	40.00
	Total	120	100.00

Comment [MS11]: What is this frequency? Any unit?

To measure the perceived perception level of farmers were asked to rate the agreement on a three-point continuum i.e., low perception level, medium perception level and high perception level. Table no.2 reveals that the maximum number of respondents' 40 percent were having high perception level followed by a medium perception level of 35 percent and only 25 percent of respondents had a low perception level.

Table no. 3. Association between the socio-economic profile of farmers and their perception level.

S.No.	Characteristics	Correlation coefficient
1	Age	0.108 ^{NS}
2	Education	0.216*
3	Farming Experience	0.229*
4	Land Holding	0.300**
5	Occupation	0.095 ^{NS}
6	Annual income	0.434**
7	Social Participation	0.084 ^{NS}
8	Extension Contact	0.261**
9	Mass Media Exposure	0.232*

Comment [MS12]: Show how to get this coefficient.

10	Scientific Orientation	0.655**
11	Innovativeness	0.229*

*Indicate-indicates that .05% level of significance

**Indicate-indicates that .01% level of significance

NS: indicate-indicates that non-significant

Table No.3.concluded that the correlation of all the selected independent variables with perception of SHC showed non-significant with age (0.108^{NS}), Occupation (0.095^{NS}), social participation (0.084^{NS}) However, Education (0.216*), Farming experience (0.229*) , land holding (0.300**), Annual Income (0.434**), Extension Contact (0.261**), Mass Media Exposure (0.232*) , Scientific Orientation (0.65**) and Innovativeness (0.229*) depicts positive significant correlation.

Conclusions: -

It was concluded that Education, Farming experience, land holding, Annual Income, Extension Contact, Mass Media Exposure, Scientific Orientation, and Innovativeness were significantly related and age, Occupation, and social participation were found non significantly relationship with perception level. Among perception level, most of respondent respondents had high perception level-levels towards soil health cards.

Comment [MS13]: Need to rewrite the conclusion. This is not an adequate conclusion.

References:

- 1.Kaur S., Kaur P. and Kumar P. (2020) Farmers Knowledge of Soil Health Card and Constraints in its use. *Indian Journal of Extension education*.vol.56(1), 28-32.
- 2.Mukati, A., Bisht K., Singh S.P., &Raghuwanshi S. (2018). Farmer's perception regarding soil health card. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 2018; 6(6): 307-310.
3. Patidar S and Patidar H. 2015. A study on perception of farmers towards organic farming. *International journal of application or innovation in engineering & management (IJAIEM)*. 4(3): 269-277.
- 4.Padmaja, B.; and Angadi, J. G.; (2018) Utilization of Soil Health Card by Farmers in Nutrient Management. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*,7(12): 2319-7706.
5. Patel P.;Gupta S. and Shinde R.,(2022). Study on profile and constraints faced by beneficiaries farmers in utilization of soil health card. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 2018; 6(6): 307-310.