

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_110407
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical analysis of the physico-chemical characterization of three arid soils in the Hadjer-Lamis region (Chad)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. As well as the relevance in terms of the subject area and target audience, the manuscript fulfills its mission to add value and knowledge to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the manuscript is a good one to make a good impression on knowledge of different soil types and x-cs. With the minor correction characteristics instead of characterization</p> <p>3. No, it doesn't begin with the most important points of the manuscript and does not have enough dense information.</p> <p>4. Yes, the authors follow the structure of the manuscript, but it should be corrected the space line option, and additional points like summary, and recommendations.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is correct scientifically based on the data used for the analysis of the three types of soil x-cs.</p> <p>6. No based on the guidelines of the journal the reference is not sufficient due to the outdatedness of the references. So, it should refer to additional papers related to your title and manuscript in the current studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, the quality of the language should be rewritten briefly and precisely.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Getnet Tigabu Asfaw
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia