

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_110484</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing Vulnerability to Natural Hazards: An Intra-District Analysis of Chamba, Himachal Pradesh</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>I hope this message finds you well. I have had the opportunity to review the manuscript titled "Assessing Vulnerability to Natural Hazards: An Intra-District Analysis of Chamba, Himachal Pradesh." The research delves into a critical topic and offers valuable insights into the vulnerability of Chamba District to natural hazards.</p> <p>I appreciate the engaging introduction that effectively captures the reader's attention. However, I would like to provide constructive feedback for improvement in several areas.</p> <p><b>Abstract Structure and Content:</b> The abstract lacks a clear structure following the IMRD or IMRC method, making it challenging for readers to grasp the purpose of the paper. I recommend reorganizing the abstract to succinctly include information on Introduction, Methodology, Results, and Conclusion.</p> <p><b>Methodology:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The methodology section needs improvement in terms of clarity. Begin with a concise overview of the methodology to provide readers with a clear understanding of the research approach.</li> <li>Remove unnecessary details in the methodology, ensuring that it remains explicit and focused. Elaborate on the criteria for selecting previous papers and government reports, and clearly define eligibility criteria for the study.</li> <li>Explain why secondary data was chosen and provide details on the themes identified from the data. Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be explicitly stated.</li> </ol> <p><b>Conclusion and Recommendations:</b> While the abstract touches upon the vulnerability assessment results, the conclusion and recommendations could be more explicit. Consider strengthening this section to provide a clearer summary of the findings and specific recommendations for mitigating vulnerability.</p> <p>In addressing these points, the manuscript will benefit from improved clarity and enhanced readability. The research is undoubtedly significant, and these revisions will contribute to its effectiveness in communicating the findings to a broader audience.</p> <p>I appreciate the opportunity to review this manuscript and believe that with the suggested improvements, it will make a valuable contribution to the field.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to these comments. I look forward to seeing the revised manuscript. Best Regards, Shaista Bibi Jadoon Director Nursing Health Department KP Pakistan RN RM PRN MPH MSN and PhD</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bibi Jadoon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pakistan</b>