

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_110439</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Screening for Identification of Resistant Genotypes against Charcoal rot caused by <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> in Maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes it is. The subject of the article is important for the scientific community. Maize is an important cereal plant. Therefore, the subject of studies identifying disease-resistant genes in maize is also important.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are not sufficient, but it can be said that they are relatively up-to-date.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	No	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Each section of the article should be rewritten in terms of structure and content. Unnecessary details should be avoided in the summary. Preliminary results should be included. The climate and soil characteristics of the studied region, general characteristics of the varieties, details of measurement methods and details of measurement devices should be included in the material and method. In the results section, the meaning of the data obtained should be written. In the discussion section, it is necessary to talk about what the results mean and actually the philosophy of the results.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mualla Keten Gokkus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University, Türkiye</b>