

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110439
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening for Identification of Resistant Genotypes against Charcoal rot caused by <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> in Maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Indeed, this manuscript is important for the scientific community 2. Yes, the title is appropriate for the manuscript. 3. The abstract of the work is appropriate. 4. The structure of the article is appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. I suggest replacing some references in the introduction with more current sources (at least from the last five or ten years). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, the language is appropriate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Congratulations to the authors for their initiative. Additionally, I suggest including a graphical figure in the 'Results' section to illustrate the collected data, enhancing reader comprehension and making the manuscript more engaging.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inaê Carolina Sfalcin
Department, University & Country	Universidade Regional Integrada do Alto Uruguai e das Missões, Brazil