

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110760
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Variability of Rainfall over North - Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka: a statistical analysis
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript requires major revision. Structure of the manuscript is very poor. Data analysis is not thorough. No comprehensive conclusion was drawn from the study.</p> <p>Abstract is not comprehensive.</p> <p>Structure is of manuscript is poor.</p> <p>Author needs to further review the concept od Skewness, Regression Analysis, and others related to the Method of Analysis addressed in the manuscript.</p> <p>No comment on references.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Improvement of English quality is needed.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The third paragraph in the Introduction section is redundant. 2. The fourth paragraph in the Introduction section requires restructuring. 3. Last paragraph in the Introduction section requires major revision. 4. The first paragraph in Section 2.2 is again redundant. 5. Author shall clearly mark stations in Figure 1. 6. Equations (1) ~ (4) are very common formula. There is no need to explain these equations nor their parameters. 7. Table 2 is not needed since all information was already addressed in Section 2.5. 8. The content of Section 3.1.1 requires further refinement. 9. The first paragraph in Section 3.1.2 is again redundant. 10. What is SMW? How did author segregate season rainfall? Author is required to clearly explain the first paragraph in Section 3.1.3. For instance, 23rd to 39th SMW. 11. Skewness is used in the analysis. Author is required to further understand the essence of Skewness. 12. Where are Table 1 ~ 8 in Appendix I? Where are Table 1 ~ 8 in Appendix II? 13. Author is required to explain how various threshold values were determined. 14. No comprehensive conclusion was drawn, nor did abstract. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chia Chun Wu
Department, University & Country	National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Taiwan