

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110465
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of tomato quality and yield to elevated temperatures under controlled environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It is appropriate if it is written in scientific language.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. No, the abstract is written very poorly. This abstract is not written in a scientific way. The arrangement of the contents in the abstract is not in order and the reader will be confused.</p> <p>In the abstract, the following contents should be included in one paragraph:</p> <p>1- Necessity of doing the work 2- Summary of the method 3- Outstanding results 4- General conclusion</p> <p>4-Yes</p> <p>5- Yes, the fieldwork is well done but poorly written.</p> <p>6- There are few new and up-to-date scientific sources in this article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, the quality of English is poor. This manuscript needs to be re-edited by a native English editor. The sentences are long and incomprehensible. Scientific materials should be written in a simple and clear way.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayoub Moradi
Department, University & Country	University of Kurdistan, Iran