

Wilt Incidence and Cultural Variability of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *udum* of Pigeonpea in Bundelkhand Region

ABSTRACT

Survey was conducted of pigeonpea growing areas of 4 districts in Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh during 2019-20. *Fusarium udum* was found constantly associated with the root samples. This indicates that this fungus, a well-known wilt pathogen, was primarily responsible for the wilt disease of pigeonpea. The average disease incidence ranged between 3.25% to 49.00% from district to districts. The average incidence percentage of wilted plants in Chitrakoot district was 39.06% followed by Banda district 25.67%, Hamirpur district 15.99% and Mahoba district 14.64% respectively. The maximum wilting was recorded in Chitrakoot isolate followed by Banda, Hamirpur, and Mahoba isolates. Chitrakoot isolate of *F. udum* was found more pathogenic and caused higher wilt incidence than other isolate. All the isolates differed in their radial growth colony characters on both solid media. It was found that PDA was the best medium in compare to PSA. The Chitrakoot isolate and radial growth was fast growing followed by others. Sporulation was moderate to excellent in different isolates. However, the maximum radial growth was a recorded-on PSA in Chitrakoot isolate and minimum radial growth in Mahoba isolate. The most distinguishing characteristic of the macro conidia are their strongly curved or hooked apices and measure 11-21.12 x 1.95 to 3.78µm.

Key Words- Survey, Isolates, Colony, PDA, PSA, Pathogenicity and Radial growth

1. INTRODUCTION

India is the leading producer of pulses in the world. India shares 25% of global production, 27% of world consumption and importer 14% of pulses in the world [4]. Major pulses viz., chickpea, pigeonpea, moong bean, urd, masur, peas and various kinds of beans are grown in India [1]. Pigeonpea and chickpea forms majority of share in total production of pulses. India ranks first in area and production of pigeonpea in the world contributing 80% and 67% in world's acreage and production, respectively [2]. It is the second most important pulse crop after chickpea [5]. It covered an area of around 42.29 lakh ha, producing 37.54 lakh tones with the average productivity of 806 kg/ha approximately during 2019-20 [2]. Maharashtra, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat, Jharkhand, Telangana and Andhra Pradesh are the major producers of pigeonpea with more than 90% share in total pigeonpea production [3]. Karnataka has the highest area under pigeonpea (13 lakh ha), but the highest production was recorded in Maharashtra (9.71 lakh tones) [2]. Nearly 90% of the crops are cultivated in rainfed conditions with medium or long-term cultivars.

Pigeonpea can be attacked by more than 100 pathogens [14]. These include fungi, bacteria, viruses, nematodes and phytoplasma. However, only a few of them cause economic losses [10] and the distribution of the major diseases is geographically restricted. The diseases of considerable importance at present are sterility mosaic, Fusarium wilt, Phytophthora blight, Macrophomina root rot, stem canker, and Alternaria blight on the Indian subcontinent. Wilt disease caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *udum* is the most important disease of pigeonpea worldwide. This is the most important soil born disease of pigeonpea and was first described in 1906 from Bihar state, India [7].

Although the disease first appears in patches in a field, it can extend to the entire field if pigeonpea is repeatedly cultivated in same field. Even though plants are infected at an early stage, the wilt symptoms are not expressed until flowering and podding. The yield loss

Comment [C1]: Pigeon pea?

Comment [C2]: Which both solid media?

depends on the stage at which the plants wilt, it can approach 100%. When wilt occurs at the pre pod stage, about 67% when wilt occurs at maturity and 30% when it occurs at the preharvest stages [9]. The yield loss observed above 10-50% and in some years up to 90% in pigeonpea due to *Fusarium* wilt in farmers' fields [21]. Wilt incidence generally increases when the crop is ratooned or retained as perennial. The disease is seed borne and soils born. The annual losses due to wilt has been estimated at \$71 million in India and \$5 million in Eastern Africa.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Pot and lab experiment was conducted in the department of Plant Pathology, Collage of Agriculture and survey of pigeonpea fields at Banda, Mahoba, Hamirpur, and Chitrakoot districts of U.P. Random roving method of survey was carried out to record the severity of *Fusarium* wilt in pigeonpea. The area having maximum wilt incidence was selected from those areas. Observations on disease incidence of the surveyed plots were recorded. The percent disease incidence was assessed by the formula:

$$\text{Disease incidence} = \frac{\text{Total number of infected plants}}{\text{Total number of observed plants}} \times 100$$

After collection of diseased samples, the collar region of each sample was washed thoroughly in running tap water to remove the soil particles. Each sample was cut into small bits and at least 5 bits were surface sterilized by dipping in 0.1% mercuric chloride for 1 minute and rinsed three times in sterilized distilled water. The procedure was repeated for each sample. The petriplates was incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ till visible fungal colonies appeared [11]. Radial growth and colony characters were recorded on PDA and PSA solid media. These were sterilized in hot air oven at 160°C for 1 hour. 20 ml of sterilized media was transferred in each sterilized petriplates. All isolates were grown on PDA and PSA plates in triplicate. Inoculated petriplates were inoculated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 21 days.

Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium composition: Peeled Potato - 200 gm, Agar-Agar - 20 gm, Dextrose - 20 gm, Distilled water - 1000 ml, pH - 6-6.5

Potato Sucrose Agar (PSA) medium composition: Potato extract - 500 ml, Agar-Agar - 20 gm, Sucrose - 20 gm, Distilled water - 500 ml, PH - 6- 6.5

The pathogenicity was tested at Each isolate was grown on PDA plates. Two actively grown mycelia discs (5mm dia.) from the periphery of 7 days old culture of each isolate were separately inoculated in 500 ml conical flasks containing 100 g pigeonpea meal medium. The flasks were incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 20 days. A fungus-soil mixture was prepared by mixing 200 g of inoculums with 2 kg of autoclaved sand: soil mixture (3:5). Fifteen cm diameter earthen pots were sterilized by formalin (0.1%). These were then filled with fungus-soil mixture. Seeds sterilized with mercuric chloride (1%) were sown in each pot.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Survey of wilt disease of pigeonpea- The average disease incidence ranged between 3.25% (Bari village of Mahoba) to 49.00% (Khoh village of Chitrakoot) from district to districts. The average percentage of wilted plants in Banda district was (25.67%) minimum (13.23 %) being in Hardouli, village of Banda while maximum (39.43%) in Bargahani of village of Banda. The average percentage of wilted plants in Mahoba district was (14.64%) minimum (3.25%) being in Bari village of Mahoba while maximum (41.00%) in Suraicha village of Mahoba. Hamirpur district showed 15.99% average disease incidence, the minimum (3.50%) being in Sijvahi village of Hamirpur, maximum (38.00%) in Chilli village of Hamirpur. The

average percentage of wilted plants in Chitrakoot district was 39.06% the minimum (26.00%) being in Kalla village of Chitrakoot, while maximum (49.00%) in Khoh village of Chitrakoot (Table-1). The detailed survey inferred that maximum disease incidence was recorded in Chitrakoot district and followed by Banda, Hamirpur and Mahoba. The similar finding of wilt disease of pigeonpea [17, 23, 13]. The detailed survey inferred that maximum disease incidence was recorded in Chitrakoot and followed by Banda, Hamirpur and Mahoba. The incidence of disease has been reported 30-60% at crop maturity and flowering stages by [9]. [15] suggested that this disease depends upon the stage of the crop infection, which approach over 50% and even upto 100% when wilt occurs at the pre pod stage. [8] Reported maximum yield loss up to 90% caused due to *Fusarium udum* in pigeonpea.

Colony character- It is clear from the table that isolates differed in their colony characters on different media. Sporulation was moderate to excellent in different isolates. All the isolates were in good growth on both media. There was a considerable variation among all the isolates of *Fusarium udum* (Table-2). PDA and PSA media were best for the growth of *Fusarium udum*. The several workers have been reported that mycelial growth and colony characters on PDA and PSA media [6, 11, 18, 19, 20, 22].

Table 1. Wilt incidence of *F. udum* collected from different districts of Bundelkhand region of U.P

District	Village/ Location	PDI (%)	District	Village/ Location	PDI (%)
Banda	Jamunipura	28.76	Mahoba	Suraicha	41.00
	Gureh	25.74		Revai	14.57
	Mahokhar	26.33		Pahara	17.83
	Kanvara	18.06		Sukoura	5.53
	Bargahani	39.43		Kharka	8.44
	Jari	18.29		Barbai	36.00
	Mawaibujurg	21.82		Sirsi	7.75
	Badeha	34.53		Mawaikhurd	15.00
	Khairada	38.00		Chichara	17.61
	Chandvara	37.36		Suraha	13.79
	Munderi	22.29		Bari	3.25
	Hardouli	13.23		Baberi	8.06
	Bhabhua	18.52		Barany	12.00
	Pailani	17.06		Kharela	3.56
Hamirpur	Biharka	8.03	Chitrakoot	Bhabhai	45.00
	Patanpur	26.57		Rayranikachakla	42.25
	Sisolar	9.17		Banadi	48.42
	Gusiyari	37.60		Sidhapur	39.00

Marauli	13.69	Maphikarvi	36.63
Fattepur	7.34	Bagaiha	38.00
Bhaulami	9.82	Shivrampur	41.00
Makarav	37.81	Khoh	49.00
TikariBujurg	4.33	Sangrampur	47.90
Sijvahi	3.50	Raipurva	35.00
Kapsa	7.80	Manohrgang	40.00
Chandi	8.61	Kalla	26.00
Mashgav	11.66	Sikarisani	38.00
Chilli	38.00	Pahadi	28.00

Table-2. Colony characters of different isolates of *F. udumon* solid media

Media	Isolates	Colour		Aerial mycelium
		Mycelium	Substrate	
	Banda	White	Light Yellow	Fluffy forming concentric rings,
PSA	Hamirpur	White	Light Yellow	Cottony, forming sector
	Mahoba	White	Yellowish	Fluffy, sticky in the middle
	Chitrakoot	White	Light Yellow	Fluffy, sticky
	Banda	White	Yellowish White	Fluffy, Cottony
PDA	Hamirpur	White	Yellowish White	Fluffy, Cottony with sticky mycelium in the middle, forming sectors
	Mahoba	White	Yellowish White	Sticky, Cottony at the point of inoculation
	Chitrakoot	White	Whitish Yellow	Fluffy, sticky



Plate 1. Microscopic view of micro conidia, macro conidia and conidiophores of *F. udum*

Radial growth- The radial growth of Chitrakoot isolate was maximum on PDA and PSA media consistently on 21 days of inoculation followed by Banda, Hamirpur and Mahoba isolates. Data of the observations were taken after 21 days of inoculation. Chitrakoot isolate showed the highest radial growth i.e 85.33 mm on PDA followed by banda 84.33 mm, Hamirpur 82.33 mm and Mahoba 81.66 mm. The mean of radial growth on PDA and PSA media in Chitrakoot isolate showed highest 84.99 mm followed by Banda 83.99 mm, Hamirpur 82.16 mm and Mahoba 81.33 mm after 21 days of inoculation. Also, the highest radial growth was observed on PDA in case of Chitrakoot isolate while least radial growth was observed on PDA in Mahoba isolates (Table-3). The maximum radial growth was recorded on PSA in Chitrakoot isolate and minimum in Mahoba isolate. The *Fusarium udum* isolates exhibited high variation in radial mycelial growth and sporulation on PDA. The maximum radial growth was recorded on PSA in Chitrakoot isolate and minimum in Mahoba isolate [12,20,23]

Table 3. Radial growth of different isolates *F. udum* on solid media (mm)

Media	Isolate				Mean
	Banda	Mahoba	Hamirpur	Chitrakoot	
PDA	84.33	81.66	82.33	85.33	83.41
PSA	83.66	81.00	82.00	84.66	82.83
Mean	83.99	81.33	82.16	84.99	

Morphological character- Micro conidia are single or bicelled, hyaline, mostly curved, ovoid to fusoid and scattered and measure 3.80-9.12 x 0.98-2.10 µm. Macro conidia which are hyaline, thin walled, falcate with a distinct foot cell, and an apical cell that decreases in width towards the tip. The most distinguishing characteristic of the macro conidia are their strongly curved or hooked apices. They are mostly 3 septate, less frequently 4-5 septate, very rarely 5 septate and measure 11-21.12 x 1.95 to 3.78 µm.

Comment [C3]: ?

Pathogenicity test- *Fusarium* wilt symptoms on pigeonpea plants were observed from the ten days of inoculation and the fungus re - isolated from the stems and roots of infected plants on the 16 days. The height and number of leaves was maximum in Mahoba district followed by Hamirpur, Banda and Chitrakoot isolate (Table-4). However, Chitrakoot isolate was found to be highly pathogenic followed by Banda, Mahoba and Hamirpur isolates. The Hamirpur isolate was low pathogenic in compare to Chitrakoot, Banda and Mahoba isolates [12,15,16,23].


Table 4. Pathogenicity test of different isolates of *Fusarium udum* in pot condition

Sr no.	Name of Isolates	Height of uninoculated plant (cm)	Height of inoculated plant (cm)	No of leaves in uninoculated plant	No of leaves in inoculated plant	Wilting symptom
1.	Banda isolate	39.2	34.2	34.2	23.4	Positive
2.	Mahoba isolate	38.0	35.4	35.0	27.2	Positive
3.	Hamirpur isolate	38.8	35.0	34.5	25.5	Positive
4	Chitrakoot isolate	39.0	34.0	34.0	20.5	Positive


4. CONCLUSION

Pigeonpea is an important pulse crop grown throughout India. The average disease incidence ranged between 3.25% to 49.00% from district to districts. The average incidence percentage of wilted plants in Chitrakoot district was 39.06% followed by Banda district 25.67%, Hamirpur district 15.99% and Mahoba district 14.64% respectively. The height and number of leaves was maximum in Mahoba isolates followed by Hamirpur, Banda and Chitrakoot isolates. The maximum wilting was recorded in Chitrakoot isolate followed by Hamirpur, Banda and Chitrakoot isolates. The highest radial growth was observed in Chitrakoot isolate on PDA while minimum radial growth was observed on PDA in Mahoba isolate. However, the maximum radial growth was a recorded-on PSA in Chitrakoot isolate and minimum radial growth in Mahoba district was isolated.

Banda



Mahoba



HamirpurChitrakootPlate 2. Pathogenicity test on pigeonpea



REFERENCES

1. APEDA. Agricultural and Processed Food Products Exports Development Authority. 2020. http://apeda.gov.in/apedawebsite/SubHead_Products/Pulses.htm
2. KPP, DPD. Kharif Pulses Prospects -2020-21, Final Area Coverage- wwwR-Kharif, DPD, Bhopal; 2020.
3. DPD. Directorate of Pulses Development, Bhopal; 2017.
4. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation; 2018. <http://www.fao.org/india/fao-in-india/india-at-a-glance/en/>
5. Bhadani DJ, Patel JJ. Seasonal Incidence of Pod Fly, *M. obtusa* Infesting Pigeonpea. International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 2019;7(2): 44-50.
6. Booth C. The genus *Fusarium*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, England. 1971: 237.
7. Butler EJ. The wilt disease of pigeonpea and pepper. Agricultural Journal of India. 1996; 1: 25-26.
8. Datta J, Lal N. Genetic diversity of *Fusarium* wilt races of pigeonpea in major regions of India. African Crop Science Journal. 2013;21(3): 201-211.
9. Kannaiyan J, Nene YL. Influence of wilt at different growth stages on yield loss in pigeonpea. Tropical Pest Management. 1981; 27:141.

10. Kannaiyan J, Nene YL, Reddy MV, Ryan JG, Raju TN. Prevalence of pigeonpea diseases and associated crop losses in Asia, Africa and the Americas. *Tropical Pest Management*. 1984;30:62-71.
11. Kiproo EK, Baudoin JP, Mwangombe AW, Kimani PM, Mergeai G. Characterization of Kenyan isolates of *Fusarium udum* from pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] by cultural characteristics, aggressiveness and AFLP analysis *Journal of Phytopathology*. 2002;150(10): 517-525.
12. Kiproo EK, Mwangombe AW, Baudoin JP, Kimani PM, Mergeai G. Cultural characteristics, pathogenicity and vegetative compatibility of *Fusarium udum* isolates from pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan*(L.) Millsp] in Kenya *European Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2002;108: 147-154.
13. Maurya AK, Simon S, John V, Lal AA. Survey of Wilt (*Fusarium udum*) and the Cyst Nematode (*Heterodera cajani*) Incidence on pigeonpea of Prayagraj District. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*. 2020;39(18):23-28.
14. Nene YL, Sheila VK, Sharma SB. A world list of chickpea and pigeon pea pathogens. Legume pathology progress report-7 Patancheru A.P. India: ICRISAT, 1989; 23.
15. Okiror MA, Kimani PM. Pathogenic variation of *Fusarium udum* of pigeonpea. *Indian Journal of Genetics*. 1997;57(2): 186-192
16. Okiror MA. Evaluation of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) germplasm for resistance to Fusarium wilt. *Indian J. Agr. Sci.* 2002;69: 600-1.
17. Pawar SV, Deshpande GD, Dhutraj DN, Dey U. Survey of pigeonpea wilt disease in Marathwada region of Maharashtra state. *A quarterly J. Life Sci.* 2013;10 (1): 175-176.
18. Prasad M, Chaudhury SK. In vitro production of Fusaric acid and its impact on growth sporulation in *Fusarium oxysporum* f. *udum*. *Phytopathology*. 1974;279-282.
19. Reddy NPE, Choudhury KCB. Variation in *Fusarium udum*. *Ind. Phytopathol.* 1985;38: 172-173.
20. Sangava M, Desai AG, Gangwar GP and Vekariya PV. Cultural and morphological variability of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ricini* isolates from castor growing areas of Gujarat. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2018;10 (4):1291-1296.
21. Singh R, Singh BK, Upadhyaya SK, Rai B, Lee YS. Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt disease of Pigeonpea. *Plant Pathol. J.* 2002;18(5):279-283.
22. Subramanian CV. Studies on South Indian Fusaria. The "wild type" in *Fusarium udum* *Bulletin. J. Indian Botanical Society*. 1950;34: 29-36.
23. Sushreeta N, Yadav MK, Singh HB. Wilt incidence and cultural variability of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *udum* collected from different districts of Uttar Pradesh. *International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology*. 2017;10(2): 229-238.