

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International STD Research &amp; Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_I-SRR_110418</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Navigating the Complexities of HIV Care Engagement and the Care Cascade: A Perspective</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it offers a comprehensive perspective on the challenges within the HIV care engagement and the care cascade. The author(s) critically examined obstacles related to testing, linkage to care, retention in care, and achieving viral suppression. Importantly, the manuscript proposes practical solutions and strategies to address these challenges. With a focus on the UNAIDS 95-95-95 targets and the Sustainable Development Goal of ending the HIV epidemic by 2030, this viewpoint contributes valuable insights that can inform healthcare practitioners, policymakers, and researchers working towards more effective HIV management and prevention strategies.</b></p> <p>2. Suggested Title: "Navigating Complexities in HIV Care: Challenges, Solutions, and Strategies."</p> <p>3. <b>The abstract provides a concise yet informative overview of the manuscript. It effectively introduces the global challenge of HIV/AIDS, emphasizes the significance of the UNAIDS 95-95-95 targets, and clearly outlines the focus areas of the discussion—testing, linkage to care, retention in care, and viral suppression. The mention of proposed solutions and strategies adds a forward-looking perspective. Overall, the abstract is fairly comprehensive, summarizing the key aspects of the manuscript and setting the stage for the ensuing discussion on overcoming challenges in HIV care engagement and the care cascade. Nonetheless, there was no mention of the actual solutions as contained in the body of the manuscript.</b></p> <p>4. The structure is suitable, but consider adding a dedicated subsection/statement in the abstract to summarize proposed solutions and strategies.</p> <p>5. The content is scientifically sound and well-researched.</p> <p>6. The references provided are relevant and include a mix of recent publications and seminal works in the field of HIV/AIDS.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication but needs some refinement for fluency. Example: "...challenges that needs attention" should be "...challenges that need attention."</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Uduma, Victor Uduma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ebonyi State University, Nigeria</b>