

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_109644</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Dysplasia Presenting With Sustained Ventricular Tachycardia: A Case Report And Review Of The Literature.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is. Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Dysplasia is relatively rare and poses diagnostic difficulties. The authors recognized the disease and successfully managed the patient's presentation with ventricular tachycardia.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract is sufficiently comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure and subsets of the report are comprehensive. Some grammatical errors have been highlighted.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Some of the references are twenty years old or older. The authors should substitute the highlighted references for more recent references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I made some corrections for grammatical errors in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tamaraemumoemi Okoro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Niger Delta University, Nigeria</b>