

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_110491
Title of the Manuscript:	The predictive validity of Theory of Planned Behaviour to understand performance of Agroforestry in Punjab, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This paper has provided an overview of community interest in agroforestry. However, in the first paragraph, the author has not sufficiently explained why agroforestry is important and what the condition of agroforestry is at the research locus.</li> <li>The title is in accordance with the content</li> <li>The abstract is able to provide an overview of the topic discussed. However, acronyms (AF &amp; NAF) should not be used in the abstract as they may confuse the reader.</li> <li>Subsections and script structure are appropriate</li> <li>The manuscript is scientifically correct</li> <li>The references used are still very limited, using at least 20 references. The author needs to provide links to the references used.</li> <li>Refine how to write correct citations</li> <li>The research methods section needs to describe in detail how the research was conducted.</li> <li>The discussion section has not been explored in depth. Lack of references to previous research and does not describe the research results.</li> <li>The conclusion has not answered the research objectives</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nurul Retno Hapsari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran, Indonesia</b>