

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_110304
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Acceptance Commitment Therapy in Patients after Amputation
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No, Please include study design in the title.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, Authors did not discuss the design of the study, whether it was prospective or retrospective design. Please mention it clearly in the beginning of method section</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Introduction: In second last line of introduction section, the authors are stating about positive conclusion of their study which is unnecessary in this section. Please remove it and state it under conclusion section.</p> <p>Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> I do not understand the first line of the method section "This Study conceptualizes three phases: Intervention Methods of the intervention group, the control group intervention method, and the evaluation method" please revise this line or remove it. Authors did not discuss the design of the study, whether it was prospective or retrospective design. Please mention it clearly in the beginning of method section. Please write sample size estimation in separate paragraph. I do not understand this line "In addition, two patients were in the control group and three were in the experimental group". Please rephrase it. This line " Finally, 95 patients completed the study: control group (48 patients) and experimental group (47 patients)" should be mentioned in result section not in methodology along with CONSORT diagram. Rephrase this line for better English comprehension "The 2 losse patients of control group were ruled out due to the occurrence of new complications". <p>Results:</p> <p>The general information as stated by authors is demographic data of the patients. So it should be stated as demographic data.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, The manuscript needs revision of English language to improve the general readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep Khuba
Department, University & Country	Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, India