

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109983
Title of the Manuscript:	Home Environment on Acquisition of Literacy Skills among Early Grades Learners in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is an interesting work and the researcher has used appropriate techniques to gather the data which is valid too. However, there are a few shortcomings regarding how the data has been presented and analyzed. In my opinion, the data has not been utilized to its full extent, consequent upon this, the author could not come up with unique findings.</p> <p>The given title I feel 'repetitive'. I would suggest <i>Understanding How Home Conditions Shape Early Grade Learners Literacy Acquisition Skills: A Tanzanian Perspective</i></p> <p>Yes, the abstract is understandable. The research statement and research methodology are clearly written. In the third sentence of the abstract, the author mentioned CASE STUDY DESIGN; and in my opinion, it is inappropriate in this case. Hence, need to have a look at this. Need to add separate sections for Review of related literature and conceptual framework.</p> <p>According to me the findings and interpretations notified by the researcher are relatable. However, need to corroborate the qualitative findings with related studies.</p> <p>Recent research works need to be added.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language should be improved. I believe that any piece of writing, a research paper in specific is meant for the larger research community. Therefore, the quality of language matters.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-Suggesting to revise the content and analyze the themes deeply in an objective way. -Update in-text citations using APA 7th ed. and recent research works should be added. -Grammatical mistakes must be corrected. -Review of related literature and conceptual framework must be added as a separate section. -Before ending every section and sub-section, need to write a conclusion briefly. -Avoid idea repetition</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navitha. S. Nair
Department, University & Country	Centre of Education, Indian Institute of Teacher Education, India