

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110445
Title of the Manuscript:	Migrants' remittances, agricultural producer service and wage inequality in the dual economy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the impact of migrants' remittances on wage inequality in a developing economy with a dual economy structure. It finds that an increase in the remittance rate has a mixed impact on wage inequality, even with the growth of the agricultural producer service sector. The study adds to existing literature by offering a new perspective on increasing skilled-unskilled wage inequality and emphasizes the need for future research to consider various factors in the analysis.</p> <p>2. The article title is suitable as it accurately reflects the research content. An alternative title could be "The Impact of Migrants' Remittances and Agricultural Producer Service on Wage Inequality in Developing Economies."</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article provides a thorough summary of the research, including the methodology, findings, theoretical framework, and implications for future research.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is well-structured and effectively communicates the research findings.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound and contributes to the understanding of the economic dynamics in developing countries.</p> <p>6. The references cover wage inequality, migration, and economic development, but some are outdated. Including more recent studies would provide a more up-to-date understanding. It may be helpful to include references from the past 5-10 years to reflect the rapidly changing nature of global economics and trade.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The article is well-written and uses technical economic terms.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>7. Weaknesses of the model:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. It assumes that all remittances are used to purchase agricultural producer services. This is a simplification that may not be realistic. ii. It assumes that the substitution between unskilled labor and service is smaller than $1/(1-\epsilon)$. This means that the substitution is not sufficiently large. This is another simplification that may not be realistic. iii. It does not take into account the impact of government policy on the agricultural producer service sector. For example, government subsidies could encourage the development of the sector, while government regulations could hinder its growth. iv. The model does not consider the impact of technological change on the agricultural producer service sector. For example, new technologies could make it more efficient to produce agricultural products, which could lead to a decrease in the demand for services from the sector. <p>8. Suggestions for addressing the weaknesses of the model:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Relax the assumption that all remittances are used to purchase agricultural producer services. This could be done by modeling remittances as a function of income, household characteristics, and access to financial services. ii. Relax the assumption that the substitution between unskilled labor and service is smaller than $1/(1-\epsilon)$. This could be done by modeling the elasticity of substitution as a function of 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>the price of agricultural producer services.</p> <p>iii. The model should be expanded to include the impact of government policy on the agricultural producer service sector. This could be done by adding a variable to the model that represents the level of government support for the sector.</p> <p>iv. The model should be expanded to include the impact of technological change on the agricultural producer service sector. This could be done by adding a variable to the model that represents the level of technological innovation in the sector.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yusuf Shamsuddeen Nadabo
Department, University & Country	Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Nigeria