

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJECC _110358
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological Aspects of Flowering, Fruit Setting, Fruit Development and Fruit Drop, Regulation and Their Manipulation- Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript might be important for scientific community if it is revised properly especially in the Body e.g. main part of the review article.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is almost Ok. But I think it will be better if it is specified for particular crop.</p> <p>3. Main objectives and result of the review article should be defined briefly in abstract section.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate is approximately OK. Systematic and best evidence reviews maybe introduced.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript will be scientifically correct by taking some corrections/ revisions.</p> <p>6. An appropriate organisation of information is all-important for the quality of a review. Make sure to organise the different pieces of information into a line of argument.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Language proficiency is optimum.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript should be accepted after proper revision. Referencing should be checked simultaneously (e.g. in text & also in the reference list).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullah Al Mamun
Department, University & Country	Rural Development Academy, Bangladesh