

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_108230
Title of the Manuscript:	State Of Art Review on Performance Evaluation of Innovative Gas Turbine Sustainable Materials for Biofuels Industry
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The research content of the manuscript has certain academic significance.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is more appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract lacks logic.</p> <p>4. The main and secondary titles of the manuscript are very confusing and it is difficult to understand what the author is trying to say.</p> <p>As a review article, I think the number of references can be increased, and the summary of the references is not enough. In the second paragraph of the first section of the manuscript, there are two identical sentences, which I think should be caused by the author's carelessness. In addition, the format of the article is very messy.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The overall manuscript requires a very thorough English grammar, vocabulary and syntax editing, since in many sections it is very difficult to understand it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wang Gang
Department, University & Country	North China Institute of Aerospace Engineering, China