

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_110895
Title of the Manuscript:	ERYSEPILOTHRIX RHUSIOPATHIAE : AN EMERGING ORGANISM CAUSING PLEURAL EFFUSION IN AN IMMUNOCOMPETENT CHILD
Type of the Article	Case report

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The case report addresses an interesting case of a patient with an unusual cause of pleural effusion. It is well-written, with a few exceptions as mentioned below.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate and describes well the objective of the case report, as well as the abstract.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and scientifically correct.</p> <p>Most of the pertinent literature is cited, but it is cited incorrectly. References should be listed in the order of their appearance in the text and should be numbered consecutively with Arabic numerals.</p> <p>As it was a complicated parapneumonic effusion, with glucose &lt; 40 mg/dL, chest drainage would be indicated, associated with antibiotic therapy. Was pleural drainage performed at the time of diagnosis?</p> <p>Were pleural lactic dehydrogenase (LDH) and pleural pH collected? In this case, it would be interesting to mention them, as they are relevant for the clinical management of parapneumonic pleural effusion.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The article would benefit from editing in English. There are some typos and punctuation errors. Pay special attention when mentioning species, writing in special characters. Furthermore, genus cannot be cited as a synonym for species.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Philippe de Figueiredo Braga Colares</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>FAMERP, Brazil</b>