

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110316
Title of the Manuscript:	Dendrocalamus Brandisii (Munro) Kurz: Unveiling the Significance of an Essential Bamboo Species
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I would like to add a few notes to make the study more qualified.</p> <p>These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *The expansions of some abbreviations are missing. (E.g. NPK, (nitrogen, phosphate, potassium, etc.) * The explanation of the abbreviated word should be given before (Ex: DBH), * The resource was not found sufficient for Title 3.2. * No remarkable information has been shared regarding the growth rate and growth efficiency of the bamboo species subject to the study. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The relevant study constitutes an original review study containing qualified information against the special bamboo species. It is understood that it conveys sufficient information in this form. The language/English quality of the article was deemed suitable for scientific communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, the study can be accepted, but editing the specified issues by the author will make the publication more qualified.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullah Gül
Department, University & Country	Yozgat Bozok University, Hemp Research Institute, Türkiye