

**Effect of Various Water Retention Techniques and NAA Application on Flowering and Nut Parameters of Cashew Cv. BPP- 8 under Odisha Condition**

**Abstract**

A trail was carried out at All India Coordinated Research Project on Cashew, (OUAT), Ransinghpur, Bhubaneswar, Odisha during the year 2021-22 to access the effect of various water retention techniques on cashew cultivar BPP- 8. The experiment consisted of nine treatments and three replication and experiment was designed on Randomized Block Design. The treatments were T<sub>1</sub>- Only irrigation, T<sub>2</sub>- Irrigation + Polythene mulch, T<sub>3</sub>- Irrigation+ NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>4</sub>- Irrigation + Stone mulch, T<sub>5</sub>- Irrigation + Residue mulch, T<sub>6</sub>- Irrigation+ Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>7</sub>- Irrigation + Stone mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>8</sub>- Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>9</sub>- No irrigation (control). Irrigation was applied at the rate of 200 litres per plant after that, the root zone of the plants were covered with different types of mulching materials. NAA @ 100 ppm was sprayed at three stages viz. pre-flowering, flowering stage and after fruit set. The result revealed significantly positive impact of various water retention techniques on cashew cultivation. Treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) expressed significant results on total number of flowers per panicle (488.80), maximum male flowers (424.94), maximum hermaphrodite flowers (66.42), highest sex ratio (0.136), number of nuts per panicle(4.08), nut yield per tree (12.07 kg), nut retention (27.50 %), nut weight (8.52 g), nut length (3.33 cm) and nut breadth (2.68 cm).

**Keywords:** Cashew, water retention technique, polythene mulch, nut yield.

**Introduction:**

Cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.), a native of Brazil, was introduced in Malabar Coast of India during the 16<sup>th</sup> century for soil conservation purpose. Since its beginning as a crop meant to prevent soil erosion, cashew has grown to become the third-largest earner of foreign exchange after tea and coffee. Cashew nut is one of the essential nuts grown in the

world and ranks first. In India cashew is cultivated in an area of 1.18 million hectare with total production 0.75 million MT and productivity 760 kg per hectare (Hubballi, 2023). Odisha ranks first in total area under cashew cultivation in country i.e. 223.45 thousand hectare with production of 121.28 thousand MT and productivity 672 kg per hectare during 2021-22 (Hubballi, 2023).

Water is one of the essential limiting natural resource in crop production (Zaman *et al.* 2017; Singh *et al.* 2021). Given the global scarcity of water resources, innovative and effective irrigation systems must be implemented to boost water output (Maitra and Pine, 2020). Mulching has a beneficial effect on soil moisture conservation, early production, and reducing the incidence of weeds, pests, and diseases. Use of different types of soil covers or mulches like straw, leaves, husk, crop residues, and black plastics have been found to conserve moisture, control weeds, moderate soil temperature, and increase in yield of different vegetables (Santosh and Maitra, 2021). The response of cashew plants to the combined effect of irrigation and plastic mulch and influence on plant growth and development in Odisha conditions have not been established. Hence, an attempt was made to determine the effectiveness of water retention techniques with foliar application of NAA on flowering and fruiting of cashew cultivar BPP-8.

#### **Materials and methods:**

The experiment was conducted at AICRP on Cashew, OUAT, Aiginia, Bhubaneswar, Odisha during 2021-22. Cashew cultivar BPP-8 was considered for research program. Experiment was outlined in Randomized Block Design of total 9 treatments and 3 replications. The treatments were T<sub>1</sub>- Only irrigation, T<sub>2</sub>- Irrigation + Polythene mulch, T<sub>3</sub>- Irrigation+ NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>4</sub>- Irrigation + Stone mulch, T<sub>5</sub>- Irrigation + Residue mulch, T<sub>6</sub>- Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>7</sub>- Irrigation + Stone mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>8</sub>- Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm, T<sub>9</sub>- No irrigation (control). Irrigation was applied at the rate of 200 litres per plant after that, the root zone of the plants were covered with different types of mulching materials. NAA @ 100 ppm was sprayed at three stages viz. pre-blooming stage, flowering stage and after fruit set. Four flowering panicles per tree, one in each direction, were tagged at random before flowering. The panicles were tagged as and when emerged, depending on the time of flowering in each selected tree. The tagged panicles were used for recording the date of emergence of the first flowering panicle to the day of drying of the last flowering panicle. Staminate flowers were counted

from four panicles, one in each direction, selected randomly. The mean number of staminate flowers produced per panicle was worked out for each selected tree. The mean number of perfect flowers produced per panicle was computed in the same way as the number of staminate flowers per panicle. Sex ratio was calculated by dividing total number of perfect flowers to staminate flowers for the tagged panicles and average was carried out. Nuts per panicle, nut retention percentage were calculated by tagging the panicle in all four directions. Nut length and breadth were measured by help of digital calliper. For nut weight fifty nuts were weighed and for kernel weight one kilogram well dried nuts were taken followed by shelling. Total weight of nuts picked during fruiting season of a tree was measured and represented as nut yield per tree. The data, collected for all the characters involved in the study were subjected to statistical analysis for proper interpretation. The standard method of analysis of variance technique as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) was employed.

### **Results and Discussion:**

#### **Effect on total number of flowers per panicle, number of male flowers per panicle, number of hermaphrodite flowers per panicle and sex ratio**

It is evident from the data given in the table-1 that the flowering parameters like total number of flowers per panicle, number of male flowers per panicle, number of hermaphrodite flowers per panicle and sex ratio are significantly influenced by different water retention techniques and NAA application. Highest number of total flower was recorded in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) i.e. 488.80 which was statistically at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) i.e. 487.13 and lowest number of total flowers was obtained in T<sub>9</sub> (control) i.e. 395.94. Lowest number of total flowers was obtained in T<sub>9</sub> (control) i.e. 395.94. Maximum number of male flowers per panicle (424.94) were recorded in T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) which is statistically at *par* with T<sub>8</sub> (Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) i.e. 420.70. Lowest male flowers per panicle (346.58) were recorded in case of T<sub>9</sub> (control). The highest values (66.42) for number of perfect flowers were recorded under treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) which was at par with T<sub>8</sub> (Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) and minimum number of hermaphrodite flowers were observed in T<sub>9</sub> (control) i.e. 48.05. This may be due to inorganic mulch having the potential of moisture conservation during the peak period of flowering and combined with NAA helps in prevention of flower drop leading to retention of more number of hermaphrodite flowers

per panicle. These findings are similar with the findings of Lakshmana *et al.* (2018) and Olawale *et al.* (2011) in cashew.

Maximum sex ratio of cashew cv. BPP-8 was recorded in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) i.e. 0.136 and at par with T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. Improvement in the sex ratio with the application of inorganic mulch and NAA was mainly due to increased number of hermaphrodite flowers and it may be due to the effect of auxin on production of hermaphrodite flowers by reducing the number of male flowers. Similar findings were reported by Kumar *et al.* (1994) in cashew.

#### **Effect on nuts per panicle and nut retention**

Treatments were significantly influenced nuts per panicle in cashew cultivar BPP-8 (Table- 2). Maximum nuts per panicle (4.08) was recorded in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) followed by T<sub>7</sub> (3.25), T<sub>5</sub> (3.16), T<sub>3</sub> (3.08) and T<sub>8</sub> (2.91). This may be due to the increased in number of hermaphrodite flowers and improved sex ratio and more percentage of fruit retention. Similar results has also been observed by Murthy *et al.* (1975) in cashew and Samant *et al.* (2017) in mango.

Water retention techniques and foliar application of NAA had significantly influenced the nut retention in cashew. The results revealed that maximum nut retention (27.50 %) was recorded by Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm and minimum in control (18.30 %). Reduced fruit drop due to exogenous applications of growth regulators may be attributed to increased endogenous auxins which helps in overcoming the formation of abscission layer in the abscission zone, thereby reducing the immature fruit drop and increasing mobilization of nutrients to the developing fruits in cashew (Kumar *et al.*, 1994). Similar findings were reported by Lakshmipathi *et al.* (2014) in cashew and Samant *et al.* (2017) in mango.

#### **Effect on nut parameters viz. nut weight, nut length and nut breadth**

Significant effect of treatments was found on nut weight of cashew cultivar BPP-8. Treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) was found to be best on higher nut weight (8.52 g) which was at par with T<sub>5</sub> (8.42 g), T<sub>3</sub> (8.35 g), T<sub>2</sub> (8.35 g), T<sub>8</sub> (8.31 g) and T<sub>7</sub> (8.25 g). Lowest nut weight was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (7.68 g). This may be due to very good response of cashew to inorganic mulch, which further help in increasing moisture content in soil, photosynthates and increase dry matter contents. Higher nut weight can be attributed due to well filled and plumpy kernels by the spraying of NAA. The result was supported by Ghadage *et al.* (2016) and Olawale *et al.* (2011) in cashew.

Water retention techniques and foliar application of NAA had a significant impact on nut length and breadth. Maximum nut length (3.33 cm) and nut breadth (2.68 cm) was observed by application of Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm whereas, minimum nut length (2.65 cm) and nut breadth (2.31 cm) were observed in T<sub>9</sub> (Control). This may be due to the effect of inorganic mulch in moisture conservation which may influence the nut size. This was earlier reported by Gajbhiye *et al.* (2016) in cashew cv. Vengurla- 4 and Tiwari *et al.* (2014) in sapota.

### **Effect on kernel weight and nut yield per tree**

The result indicated that highest kernel weight (2.42 g) was recorded by the application of Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm followed by Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm (2.41 g). This may be due to the optimum filling of kernels due to the availability adequate moisture and very good response of cashew to inorganic mulching. These findings are in line with Olawale *et al.* (2011) in cashew.

Water retention techniques with foliar application of NAA had a significant effect on nut yield per tree. Highest nut yield per tree (12.07 kg) was found in T<sub>6</sub> (Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm) which was statistically at par with T<sub>8</sub> (10.89 kg) and T<sub>7</sub> (10.39 kg). The increase in yield might be due to the dual role of inorganic mulch and spraying of NAA which helps in retention of more number of nuts per panicle, increased sex ratio and hermaphrodite flowers. But in organic mulching, due to less moisture conservation as compared to inorganic mulching yield is little bit less. The above results are in conformity with the findings of Lakshmana *et al.* (2018), Panda *et al.* (2018) and Mishra *et al.* (2008) in cashew and Samant *et al.* (2017) in mango.

### **Conclusion:**

From the above study it can be concluded that adaptation water retention technique of irrigation along with polythene mulch and foliar application of NAA @ 100 ppm can increase the nut yield in cashew by increasing flowering and yield attributing characters.

### **References**

Gajbhiye RC, Salvi SP and Pawar SN. 2016. Effect of organic manures on growth and yield of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4 under Konkan region of Maharashtra. *An Asian Journal of Soil Science*, **11**(1): 159-165.

- Ghadage VR, Ahlawat TR, Chawla SL, Shah NI and Ghadage N. 2016. Effect of plant growth regulators on flowering behavior of cashew cv. Vengurla-4 grown in the hilly tracts of South Gujarat. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, **8**(1): 23-27.
- Hubballi VN. 2023. Innovative developmental strategies to enhance production and productivity of cashew, *In: Souvenir of National Conference on Cashew, 30<sup>th</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> January. 2023, Bhubaneswar, Odisha*, pp: 21-28.
- Kumar DP, Khan MM and Melanta KR. 1994. Effect of growth regulators on sex expression, fruit set, fruit retention and yield of cashew, *Proceedings of the XI Symposium on Plantation Crops*, pp. 610-627.
- Lakshmana, Goudar SA and Naik PA. 2018. Effect of drip irrigation on flowering and yield performance of Cashew varieties. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*, **6**(3): 398-402.
- Lakshmipathi, Adiga JD and Kalaivanam D. 2014. Influence of growth regulators on certain reproductive parameters of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) variety Bhaskara, *Journal of Plantation Crops*, **42**(1): 113-116.
- Maitra S and Pine S. 2020. Smart Irrigation for Food Security and Agricultural Sustainability. *Indian Journal of Natural Sciences*, **10**(60): 20435-20439.
- Mishra JN, Paul JC and Pradhan PC. 2008. Response of cashew to drip irrigation and mulching in coastal Orissa. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, **7**(3): 36-40.
- Murthy KN, Kumaran PM and Nayar NM. 1975. Increasing fruit set in cashew by hormone spraying. *Journal of Plantation Crops*, **3**(2): 81-82.
- Olawale, Mashood A, Oluwayemisi OA and Joshua AA. 2011. Foliar application of the exogenous plant hormones at pre-blooming stage improves flowering and fruiting in cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.). *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*, **14**(2): 143-150.
- Panda CM, Patel MK and Ray DP. 2018. Effect of drip irrigation and mulching on growth of cashew. *The Pharma Innovation*, **7**(4): 381-383.
- Panse VC and Sukhatme PV. 1967. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, ICAR, New Delhi.
- Samant D, Mandal S, Nath V and Kurian RM. 2017. Effect of deficit drip irrigation and mulching on mango hybrid "Amrapali". *Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research*, **35**(2): 53-58.
- Santosh DT and Maitra S. 2021. Estimation of irrigation water requirement of Zucchini squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) under protected cultivation structures and in open field conditions. *Indian Journal of Natural Sciences*, **12** (69): 37380-37385.
- Singh SP, Mahapatra BS, Pramanick B and Yadav VR. 2021. Effect of irrigation levels, planting methods and mulching on nutrient uptake, yield, quality, water and fertilizer productivity of field mustard (*Brassica rapa*L.) under sandy loam soil. *Agricultural Water Management*, **244**: 106539.

Tiwari KN, Kumar M, Santosh DT, Singh VK, Maji MK and Karan AK. 2014. Influence of Drip Irrigation and Plastic Mulch on Yield of Sapota (*Achras zapota*) and Soil Nutrients. *Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, **3**(1): 116-117.

Zaman A, Zaman P and Maitra S. 2017. Water resource development and management for agricultural sustainability. *Journal of Applied and Advanced Research*, **2**(2): 73-77.

**Table- 1: Effect of different water retention techniques with foliar application of NAA on total number of flowers per panicle, number of male flowers per panicle, number of hermaphrodite flowers per panicle and sex ratio**

Treatments	Total number of flowers/panicle	Number of male flowers/panicle	No. of hermaphrodite flowers/ panicle	Sex ratio
T <sub>1</sub> - Only irrigation	399.78	347.89	50.25	0.126
T <sub>2</sub> - Irrigation + Polythene mulch	420.73	366.93	55.26	0.131
T <sub>3</sub> - Irrigation + NAA @ 100 ppm	427.35	370.44	56.91	0.133
T <sub>4</sub> - Irrigation + Stone mulch	400.50	349.52	51.92	0.130
T <sub>5</sub> - Irrigation + Residue mulch	418.86	361.99	53.92	0.129
T <sub>6</sub> - Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm	488.80	424.94	66.42	0.136

T <sub>7</sub> - Irrigation + Stone mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm	440.57	385.30	58.74	0.133
T <sub>8</sub> - Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm	487.13	420.70	63.86	0.131
T <sub>9</sub> - No irrigation (control)	395.94	346.58	48.05	0.121
SE(m) ±	11.43	9.91	2.65	0.01
CD at 5 %	34.58	29.98	8.03	0.03

**Table -2: Effect of different water retention techniques with foliar application of NAA on number of nuts per panicle, nut yield per tree (kg), nut retention (%), nut weight (g), nut length (cm) and nut breadth (cm)**

Treatments	Number of nuts/ panicle	Nut yield/ tree (kg)	Nut retention (%)	Nut weight (g)	Nut length (cm)	Nut breadth (cm)
T <sub>1</sub> - Only irrigation	2.16	9.13	24.07	8.11	3.25	2.64
T <sub>2</sub> - Irrigation + Polythene mulch	2.50	9.68	21.66	8.35	3.07	2.60
T <sub>3</sub> - Irrigation + NAA @ 100 ppm	3.08	10.19	24.51	8.35	3.01	2.53
T <sub>4</sub> - Irrigation + Stone mulch	2.66	9.18	22.95	8.18	3.28	2.54
T <sub>5</sub> - Irrigation + Residue mulch	3.16	9.42	25.74	8.42	3.32	2.60
T <sub>6</sub> - Irrigation + Polythene mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm	4.08	12.07	27.50	8.52	3.33	2.68
T <sub>7</sub> - Irrigation + Stone mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm	3.25	10.39	26.43	8.25	3.26	2.65
T <sub>8</sub> - Irrigation + Residue mulch + NAA @ 100 ppm	2.91	10.89	22.56	8.31	3.08	2.53
T <sub>9</sub> - No irrigation (control)	2.08	6.10	18.30	7.68	2.65	2.31
SE(m) ±	0.11	0.59	1.38	0.11	0.06	0.05
CD at 5 %	0.35	1.80	4.18	0.34	0.20	0.17