

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IBRR_110500</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Secondary erythrocytosis, a predominant cause of polycythemia- Clinical and laboratory evaluation of polycythemia in a tertiary care center</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes it's a very important topic and steps to differentiate Polycythemia Vera from secondary erythrocytosis is very important.</p> <p><b>To my point of view</b>  <b>"Clinical and laboratory evaluation of polycythemia in a tertiary care center" was sufficient.</b></p> <p><b>Yes its seem sufficient</b></p> <p><b>There is a need to restructure the methods and statistical analysis section.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Keeping in view the diversity of topic, more references need to be cited. The cited references are recent and appropriate</b></p> <p><b>Additional points</b></p> <p>Introduction is rather very brief and needs proper elaboration.  Essential part of study methods have been discussed in statistical analysis. These must be mentioned at proper place.  In discussion following points need to be discussed in a bit detail</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Age of patients as in this study the mean age of 39.73±11.10 was observed which is much less then the reported age for both type of erythrocytosis</li> <li>SE associated with Viral hepatitis and even CKD</li> <li>Management aspects in both type of erythrocytosis.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes it is fine</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tahir Jameel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia</b>