

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_110629
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of SUB1 locus in genetic background of modern rice varieties (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community because Rice is a semi-aquatic plant that can only survive a few days submerged in water. Flash flooding, which is produced by irregular meteorological conditions during the monsoon season, has a significant impact on rice production, particularly in rain-fed lowland regions of South and South-east Asia. Yes, the title of this article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of this article comprehensive to some extent.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate to some extent after modification. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct after modification of some words which it writes by red colour.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent but must you repair some words which write by red colour.</p> <p>No, Thanks, these comments are enough.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language/English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Fathy Zaki Soliman
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt