

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_109912
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF AN INCLINED CENTRED CONDUCTOR ON MHD NATURAL AND FORCED CONVECTION WITHIN A HEATED WAVY CHAMBER.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript highlights some of the important aspects of forced and natural convection heat transfer modes, which indicates the role of MHD conductors.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Should be arranged more systematically</p> <p>5. To some extent.</p> <p>6. Should add more references with recent literature.</p> <p>Figure 1 should be redrawn with clear geometry and arrangement. All graphs should be clear and should have used current Microsoft excel version. Conclusions should be rephrased with quality outputs. Subsections should be numbered properly. Use same font size throughout the manuscript. Structure of the manuscript should be properly rearranged. Check for spelling mistakes. I strongly recommend to improve the overall structure of Manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Average</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Samee Dafedar
Department, University & Country	P. A. College of Engineering, India