

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_110247
Title of the Manuscript:	CAESAREAN SECTION AND NORMAL VAGINAL DELIVERY
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the clinical, scientific community as well general people.</li> <li>2. Title can be re-written, considering the significance of the procedures or clinical comparison.</li> <li>3. Yes, structurally though it is not very carefully written in terms of language, spelling etc.</li> <li>4. Yes</li> <li>5. I have concern over the methodology. Is it an observational study or a case report/series? Studying only 20 participants and coming to some conclusion is difficult. To me the design is very poor and poorly elaborated too.</li> <li>6. Yes, all the references are recent but to me more could be added.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The entire manuscript should be reviewed and re-written carefully to be consistent with grammar and spelling etc.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Weak methodological design.</li> <li>• Weak language skills.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Badar Uddin Umar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Medicine, Central Asian University, Canada</b>