

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_109814
Title of the Manuscript:	Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Commodity, Service, Manufacturing, and Education Sectors: A Comprehensive Analysis with a Focus on African Countries
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Manuscript Importance: Indeed, this manuscript is meaningful to the scientific community, especially those who are focused on the socioeconomic consequences of global tragedies such as the COVID-19 crisis. The case provides significant information on how to approach African dynamics of challenges and responses to be useful for policy and strategy formation in related situations.</p> <p>2- Article Title Suitability: In this context, the title of his article may appear suitable as it is aligned with an extensive research that has been conducted in the course of the study. This paper distinctly shows that it concentrates on COVID-19 effects in different areas, particularly highlighting African nations.</p> <p>3- Abstract Comprehensiveness: In essence, the abstract offers an excellent summary of the study involving objectives, methodology, significant outcomes, and consequences. It could, however, be expanded through stating the type of data involved and its sources in brief, as well as making intricate phrases more accessible.</p> <p>4- Subsections and Structure Appropriateness: The various subsections, format and entire makeup of the manuscript is well justified. They structure the material systematically from within the introduction and literature to the methods, findings, and conclusion. Such a step would ensure that every segment directly relates to the research questions or the hypothesis to improve coherence and flow.</p> <p>5- Scientific Accuracy: The manuscript looks science based. This methodology is strong, applying both quantitative and qualitative methods of analysis that are supported in credible data sources. Nonetheless, there is need for more specific analysis of the statistic in terms of real life.</p> <p>6- References Sufficiency and Recency: The sources cited are adequate and appear quite current, encompassing various types of appropriate materials. Nevertheless, include new reports since the pandemic and update your context as well as data to achieve latest situations.</p> <p>Additional Suggestions:</p> <p>1- Make the presentation of complex data simpler, and offer more explanation on what is represented in charts and graphs.</p> <p>2- Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Data would result in a more smooth incorporation of qualitative findings into quantitative data for better results that are clearer and more all-encompassing at a glance.</p> <p>3- Provide further clarification about the impact of the pandemic in terms of challenges/disparities such as urban versus rural.</p> <p>4- A more exhaustive perspective on the spread may be developed by briefly positioning Africa's experience within a global framework.</p> <p>5- Giving more in-depth insight into the policy implications of the findings and identifying possibilities for further research would make the paper richer.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Minor post-modification proofreading might be needed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>See side comments on manuscript</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Awad Alshehri
Department, University & Country	IMSIU, KSA