

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_110508</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysis of Intra-Regional Trade Potential in the ECOWAS Zone</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. But this manuscript needs more.</b></p> <p><b>No, the title should be changed. It doesn't sound like a scientific article title, but just a discussion title.</b></p> <p><b>The title may be as given, or the author can change it accordingly.</b></p> <p><b>Intra-Regional Trade Potential: An Analysis of the ECOWAS Zone</b></p> <p>No, the subsections are good, but the material and detail are only relevant to the concerned person, not the type of common reader. For example, in Section 1, the background of the ECOWAS zone is not discussed, including why it is called the ECOWAS zone. What is important? economic outlook of this zone. In Section 2, only 5 articles are reviewed as previous literature. There should be more relevant articles added to this section. In the last section of the conclusion, just three lines discuss the conclusion. It should be more brief in this section.</p> <p>Yes, if some related material is added.</p> <p>References are good, but not in APA style. It's a mix of styles, like</p> <p>1- Agbahoungba, L.S.W. (2019) "Trade liberalization and economic growth in the ECOWAS zone: Analysis based on a threshold effect model", Revue "Repères et Perspectives Economiques" [Online], Vol.3, No. 2, p: 1 -21.</p> <p>2- Bergstrand J. (1989) "The Generalized Gravity Equation, Monopolistic Competition, and the Factor-Proportions Theory in International Trade", The Review of Economics and Statistics, 1989, vol. 71, issue 1, 143-53.</p> <p>This is not a uniform style. Suggestions are given above</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes the language is good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Aurmaghan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Economics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan</b>