

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_110596
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Management Maturity Level of Steel Fabricator Companies in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript can be improved by below points:</p> <p>Some basic formatting issues like commas, upper case lower case needs to be revisited at some places. Section 2.3., material and methods, Kindly first describe the APO and then use the abbreviation. Provide scale details and brief description in a separate section like methodology or alike. In section 3.1, the author mentions that the analysis is qualitative, however, the method seems clearly quantitative. Kindly correct accordingly. How did the author arrive at the value of 128.9 maturity level is not reported anywhere in analysis section. Provide concrete strategies on the way ahead for knowledge management. Strengthen the literature review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language is fine.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Namita Mangla
Department, University & Country	SGT University, India