

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_110483</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Predictive Model for POP Cement Prices in the Nigerian Construction Industry</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. YES, The study used multiple linear regression modelling as a technique to formulate a model to predict the price of Paris plaster cement, which is a pioneering technique in this field.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate for the content of the article, and the technique used can be added to the title of the manuscript</p> <p>3. Yes, the summary is comprehensive of the content of the manuscript</p> <p>4. Yes, the sub-sections of the manuscript are well-formatted, and the structure of the manuscript is also appropriate</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. Authors should discuss their findings extensively and compare them with those of other researchers who have conducted similar and recent studies.</p> <p>7. Authors should write section titles and summaries in the introduction</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>More vocabulary should be used.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmed Falah Hasan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kufa, Iraq</b>