

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_103730
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey of Encroachment of the Benin-Ughelli Transmission Line Right of Way
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The context for decision-making in placing infrastructure development projects gradually shifts from technical issues to social, environmental, and thus political matters, and then, it requires more active community involvement. Therefore, the Benin-Ughelli Road Transmission Encroachment Survey is a manuscript important to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of articles in English is quite adequate and suitable for scientific communication. However, there are some errors in typing. Please re-read the script and fix any inappropriate parts.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the script is good enough, but design concepts can be added using the research flowchart in part 3.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pribadyo
Department, University & Country	Teuku Umar University, Indonesia