

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_108290</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Antibacterial Activities of Euphorbia hirta and Lantan camara Extracts on the Growth of some Bacteria Diseases of Banana Plant.</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- The results of this manuscript are important to control banana diseases caused by some bacterial species using environmentally friendly management.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title is suitable but needs a little modification {Antibacterial Activities of <i>Euphorbia hirta</i> and <i>Lantan camara</i> Extracts on the Growth of some Bacteria that Cause Banana Plant Diseases}.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract is comprehensive (please correct the notes in the manuscripts comments)</p> <p>4. Yes, some figures for bacterial inhibition zone are required, please supplement them in the results section.</p> <p>5. Yes, but the part of bacterial strain identifications needs further studies, the microscopic identification of the bacteria species is not sufficient alone, they should be identified by 16s rDNA.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of English language needs some improvement</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In general, there are some grammar mistakes and some sentences need to be rephrased (the notes were mentioned in the manuscript comments).</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maha Taimor Hassan Emam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Biotechnology Research Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt</b>