

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_109968
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Prevention/Control, Challenges, and Proposed solutions for Cholera in the Tiko Health District of Cameroon: Community Perspective
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, it is important for the community to get information about the challenges in controlling cholera from the community's perspective (The incidence of cholera has increased steadily since the year 2000. Cholera is prevalent in Africa, Asia, parts of the Middle East. In Cameroon, the burden of cholera has increased during the past decades with 4026 in 1991, 5796 in 1996, 8005 in 2004 and 10.759 in 2010. The persistent presence of this disease in this community is probably due to poor socio-economic status, poor knowledge, on cholera prevention and control measures) 2. The title is quite good, maybe it could be further simplified to: Knowledge and Challenges in Cholera Control in The Tiko Health District of Cameroon: Community Perspective. 3. The abstract is comprehensive, but there are too many words in the introduction, and the results of the challenge points are not clear. The total number of words reaches 357, it is necessary to filter out sentences that do not need to be included, adjust to the template. 4. Some subsections and structure of the manuscript do not match the template (introduction section, result and discussion, conclusion and recommendation). Please refer to the journal template. 5. Scientifically it is correct because it uses a scientific approach through directed research methods 6. The number of references is sufficient, however, if possible, the relevant articles should be up to date from the last 10 years 7. Findings: The number of pages reached 33 pages, 10291 	

Review Form 1.7

	words and 19 pictures/tables, not in accordance with the template rules (maximum 25 pages, word count 3000-6000 words, 15 pictures/tables)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In general, the quality of English is suitable for scientific communication	
Optional/General comments	Please make adjustments to the template	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No, there are not	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roza Asnel
Department, University & Country	Payung Negeri Health Institute, Indonesia