

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_110625
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-inflammatory and Anti-cancer Activities of Leaf Extracts from three Datura Species found in Mali
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this Manuscript found Important for Scientific community. As the author described about the extract of different Datura species has anti-inflammatory and Anti-Cancer efficacy.</p> <p>Yes it seems appropriate for this work.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. All sub sections and structure of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, and is recommended to publish with few corrections. Authors should write the magnification of the Image in the Figure ligand 1 and 2.</p> <p>Yes, References are recent and sufficient. But authors should revise the reference thoroughly. Example: Reference NO 18 Plant name Datura innoxia Should be Italics <i>Datura innoxia</i>. There is error in Ref. No 19, 23, 27, 31 should be corrected.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Authors has sufficiently described the work and English quality is appropriate for scientific community.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kunal Kumar
Department, University & Country	Augusta University, USA