

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_110291</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>NON-TRAUMATIC SUBCAPSULAR HEMATOMA OF THE LIVER IN A PATIENT UNDER RIVAROXABAN: A RARE CLINICAL OCCURRENCE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b>, the manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community. The presented case of non-traumatic subcapsular hematoma (NTSH) of the liver in a patient under Rivaroxaban is rare and sheds light on a potential and uncommon complication associated with the use of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs).</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, the title given to this case study is suitable.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It effectively summarizes the key elements of the case report, providing an overview of the patient's medical history, symptoms, diagnostic procedures, and the chosen treatment strategy.</p> <p>1. Consider adding the duration of chemotherapy and hormonotherapy after the breast cancer</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, overall, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>1. Consider adding a concise statement at the end of the introduction to guide readers on the unique contribution of the case report to the existing literature.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, the manuscript appears scientifically correct. The case report details the patient's medical history, symptoms, diagnostic procedures, and treatment in a manner consistent with clinical standards.</p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Nil</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The case report provides valuable insights into a rare clinical occurrence. With the suggested improvements, it would make a valuable contribution to the literature on bleeding complications associated with DOACs. <b>I recommend acceptance.</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Glory Mini Mol Alexander</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Manipal College of Health Professions, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, India</b>