

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Mathematics |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJOM_110390 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Potential of YouTube as a Source of Mathematics Learning Education |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Importance for the Scientific Community: The manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it explores the potential of YouTube as a platform for mathematics education. In an era where technology plays a pivotal role in education, understanding the efficacy of online platforms, particularly YouTube, is crucial. The study's qualitative approach, examination of specific YouTube channels, and insights from educators and students contribute valuable perspectives for educators, researchers, and policymakers in the field of educational technology. Suitability of the Title: The alternative title suggestions are "Unleashing the Educational Potential of YouTube: A Comprehensive Study on Mathematics Learning", effectively encapsulates the focus and scope of the manuscript. It succinctly communicates the central theme of exploring YouTube's role in education, specifically in the context of mathematics. Comprehensiveness of the Abstract: The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the manuscript, encompassing the background, methodology, key findings, and implications. It effectively communicates the research objectives, methods, and outcomes, enabling readers to grasp the essence of the study. No major revisions are required for the abstract. Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: The manuscript's subsections and overall structure are well-organized, facilitating a logical flow of information. The division into sections such as Introduction, The Rise of YouTube in Education, Methodology, Findings, Conclusion, and Future Directions ensures clarity and coherence. Each subsection appropriately contributes to the overall narrative of the study. Scientific Correctness: The manuscript appears scientifically sound, employing a qualitative descriptive methodology to investigate YouTube's potential in mathematics education. The findings are supported by the examination of specific YouTube channels and insights from interviews with educators and students. However, as with any research, continuous peer review and potential feedback from the scientific community could further enhance the manuscript. References: The references provided appear relevant and cover a range of sources, including scholarly studies and sources specific to YouTube's educational role. While the references are sufficient, ensuring that the most recent and pertinent studies are included could enhance the manuscript's academic rigor. Potential additions might include recent publications on educational technology, online learning, and the evolving landscape of digital education. <p>Overall, the manuscript contributes meaningfully to the scientific discourse on technology in education, with minor considerations for further refinement and inclusion of recent references within 3-5 years newest.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, a few minor revisions could enhance clarity and precision. Ensure consistent use of verb tenses throughout the manuscript, and consider refining sentence structures for smoother readability. Additionally, check for any typographical errors or grammatical inconsistencies. Overall, attention to these minor details will contribute to the overall polished quality of the language in the article.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Optional/General comments | <p>The manuscript effectively explores the role of YouTube in mathematics education, providing valuable insights for the scientific community. The title aptly captures the essence of the research. The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the key aspects of the study.</p> <p>The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are well-organized, facilitating a logical flow. However, some sentences could benefit from minor restructuring for improved clarity. Additionally, ensure consistency in verb tenses.</p> <p>Scientific correctness is generally sound, but continuous peer review and potential feedback from the scientific community could enhance the manuscript's robustness.</p> <p>The references are relevant, but consider incorporating the most recent studies to further strengthen the scholarly foundation. Additionally, check for consistent formatting in the references section.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript makes a valuable contribution to the understanding of YouTube's potential in mathematics education. With attention to minor revisions, the article will be well-positioned for scholarly communication.</p> | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Norhayati Binti Yusoff |
| Department, University & Country | Perak Matriculation College, Malaysia |