

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_110631
Title of the Manuscript:	Early experience with transurethral endoscopic de-roofing of single system orthotopic ureterocele in adults
Type of the Article	original

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, because it can greatly help doctors to treat patients.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Alternative title: Transurethral Endoscopic De-roofing of Adult Single System Orthotopic Ureterocele"</b></p> <p>3. <b>In the abstract of the article, the purpose of the study is not mentioned. In the study method, the type of study is not mentioned, nor is there any mention of the data analysis method, the entry and exit criteria of the study.</b> In addition, please let the author explain why the low sample size was chosen? A larger sample size can increase the accuracy of the study and has the ability to generalize more to the society.</p> <p>4. It is somewhat appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, but the above-mentioned items should be considered.</p> <p>6. <b>It is enough, but if there are more references, the author is requested to mention them.</b> ( In the introduction, there is no mention of the prevalence, extent of the issue in different geographical areas and its severity. It is better to consider ).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Result: The demographic information and characteristics of the participants should be given in the form of a table.</b> <b>The method of data analysis should be mentioned. The significance level of the test is not specified.</b></p> <p>The authors should list and shortly discuss the limitation of their study, for instance, their limited number of participants and small sample size</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ehsan Shabani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>