

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_110567
Title of the Manuscript:	Neonatal Respiratory Distress in a Developing Country: From Epidemiology to Outcome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Manuscript is definitely important for scientific community as it tries to provide evidence based research analysis on the status of respiratory distress among the neonates in under-developed or developing country</p> <p>2. Suitable but the article should be action oriented and since the most important thing expected from any study is to implement the recommendations at field level, therefore it is suggested to revise the title to improve the readability. Suggested title: Planning perspectives to Combat the Neonatal Respiratory Distress in a Developing Country</p> <p>3. Some misses found in abstract. Factors of respiratory distress were early neonatal period (80%), male sex, full-term birth (82.3%), and normal birth weight (66.3%). Male sex Percentage missing in the sentence. The key recommendations to reduce NRD must be mentioned clearly in the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language in Abstract can be slightly improved. But overall presentation is satisfactory and approved for publication	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ragini Mishra
Department, University & Country	India