

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_110026
Title of the Manuscript:	Method Development and Validation for the Simultaneous Estimation of Pregabalin, Methylcobalamin and Nortriptyline in sustained release tablet dosage form using UV-Spectrophotometry
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, The authors show " <b>Method Development and Validation for the Simultaneous Estimation of Pregabalin, Methylcobalamin and Nortriptyline in sustained release tablet dosage form using UV-Spectrophotometry</b>". The authors showed good correlation of concentration with absorption which reflect in linearity . The assay results were achieved and it proved that a successful analytical method can be used for routine analysis of Pregabalin, Methylcobalamin and Nortriptyline in Sustained release tablet by UV Spectrophotometry.</p> <p>Yes Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Results and discussions need some expansion on the topic results and agreement with previous research for comparison</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The results are worth publishing	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ghazwan Ghazi Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Mosul, Iraq</b>