

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_100631
Title of the Manuscript:	NOISE LEVEL EVALUATION AT MAJOR MARKETS IN CALABAR, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, The authors have recommended the find ways to reduce the noise which may help the people in the society a lot</p> <p>Yes, the title is matches with the subject(content) in the paper</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, Majority references are recent and are sufficient for the publication. The author has maintained same style in all the reference as per guidelines. Still the author is requested to add some more recent journal papers which are highly indexed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>2. Yes, language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? The paper is good and has content to be published as research paper but the paper must be proof read before going to publish.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Advised check the table No 1 which indicated same noise quantity description i.e moderate for the sound with range 55-60 and 60-65 dB</p> <p>2. Author is requested to cite the tables and figures at required places</p> <p>3. Author is requested to elaborate the conclusion chapter to get good impact as conclusion chapter is heart of the research paper.</p> <p>4. It was found that manuscript is good in content and technically sound</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vakada Nagabhushana Rao
Department, University & Country	Raghu Institute of Technology, India